

indikator dukungan, tetapi juga sebagai arena pembentukan opini dan polarisasi. Oleh karena itu, penerapan strategi moderasi komentar serta pengelolaan interaksi yang lebih konstruktif perlu dipertimbangkan secara serius guna menstimulasi terciptanya ruang diskusi yang lebih sehat dan bersifat dialogis. Upaya ini krusial untuk meminimalisir penyebaran narasi negatif yang destruktif dan mendorong pertukaran ide yang lebih substantif di ranah publik digital.

Selain itu, studi di masa mendatang dapat memperluas cakupan analisis dengan melakukan studi komparatif terhadap kampanye visual politik antar-kandidat maupun lintas platform media sosial, seperti YouTube, TikTok, dan Instagram. Perbandingan ini menjadi krusial untuk membedah sejauh mana karakteristik teknis dan algoritma masing-masing platform memengaruhi tipologi partisipasi, dinamika interaksi, serta proses konstruksi opini publik dalam konstelasi politik digital di Indonesia. Strategi komunikasi yang terlalu menekankan polarisasi berpotensi mempersempit ruang dialog publik dan memperkuat fragmentasi sosial di ruang digital.

Keempat, aktor politik dan tim kampanye juga perlu meningkatkan kesadaran akan dampak jangka panjang komunikasi politik digital terhadap kualitas demokrasi. Kampanye visual yang kreatif sebaiknya diimbangi dengan narasi yang mendorong literasi politik, pemahaman isu, serta penghargaan terhadap perbedaan pandangan, sehingga media sosial tidak hanya menjadi arena kontestasi, tetapi juga ruang pembelajaran politik bagi masyarakat.

Dengan menerapkan langkah-langkah tersebut, praktik kampanye politik digital di media sosial diharapkan tidak hanya efektif dalam menarik perhatian dan dukungan publik, tetapi juga mampu berkontribusi pada terciptanya ruang diskursus politik yang lebih sehat, inklusif, dan bertanggung jawab.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Barberá, P., Jost, J. T., Nagler, J., Tucker, J. A., & Bonneau, R. (2015). Tweeting From Left to Right: Is Online Political Communication More Than an Echo Chamber? *Psychological Science*, 26(10), 1531–1542.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797615594620>

BBC. (2023, December 8). *Pemilu 2024: Gemoy, selepetan sarung, dan salam tiga jari - Upaya para capres "menutup mata" Gen Z?* BBC News Indonesia.
<https://www.bbc.com/indonesia/articles/cd1ppdxvw9no>

Bemby Soebyakto, B. (2013). Kesehatan dan Pembangunan. *Stikes Mitra Adiguna Palembang*, 3, 37–42.

Bene, M. (2017). Go viral on the Facebook! Interactions between candidates and followers on Facebook during the Hungarian general election campaign of 2014. *Information, Communication & Society*, 20(4), 513–529.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2016.1198411>

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
<https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>

Burgess & Green, J. G. (2018). *Youtube-online-video-and-participatory-culture*.
Chin, A., Coimbra Vieira, C., & Kim, J. (2022). Evaluating Digital Polarization in Multi-Party Systems: Evidence from the German Bundestag. *14th ACM Web Science Conference 2022*, 296–301. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3501247.3531547>

Cinelli, M., De Francisci Morales, G., Galeazzi, A., Quattrociocchi, W., & Starnini, M. (2021). The echo chamber effect on social media. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(9), e2023301118.
<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023301118>

Dubois, E., & Blank, G. (2018). The echo chamber is overstated: The moderating effect of political interest and diverse media. *Information, Communication & Society*, 21(5), 729–745. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2018.1428656>

Esau, K., Choucair, T., Vilkins, S., Svegaard, S. F. K., Bruns, A., O'Connor-Farfan, K. S., & Lubicz-Zaorski, C. (2025). Destructive polarization in digital communication contexts: A critical review and conceptual framework. *Information, Communication & Society*, 28(8), 1499–1520.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2024.2413127>

- Fereday, J., & Muir-Cochrane, E. (2006). Demonstrating Rigor Using Thematic Analysis: A Hybrid Approach of Inductive and Deductive Coding and Theme Development. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 5(1), 80–92. <https://doi.org/10.1177/160940690600500107>
- Flaxman, S., Goel, S., & Rao, J. M. (2016). Filter Bubbles, *Echo chambers*, and Online News Consumption. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 80(S1), 298–320. <https://doi.org/10.1093/poq/nfw006>
- Goodwin, A. (2023). Political Relational Influencers: The Mobilization of Social Media Influencers in the Political Arena. *International Journal of Communication*, 17.
- Halpern, D., & Gibbs, J. (2013). Social media as a catalyst for online deliberation? Exploring the affordances of Facebook and YouTube for political expression. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29(3), 1159–1168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2012.10.008>
- Heriyanto, H. (2019). Implementasi Thematic Analysis dalam Penelitian Ilmu Perpustakaan dan Informasi. *Anuva: Jurnal Kajian Budaya, Perpustakaan, dan Informasi*, 3(1), 27–31. <https://doi.org/10.14710/anuva.3.1.27-31>
- Highfield, T., & Leaver, T. (2016). Instagrammatics and digital methods: Studying visual social media, from selfies and GIFs to memes and emoji. *Communication Research and Practice*, 2(1), 47–62. <https://doi.org/10.1080/22041451.2016.1155332>
- Iyengar, S., Lelkes, Y., Levendusky, M., Malhotra, N., & Westwood, S. J. (2019). The Origins and Consequences of Affective Polarization in the United States. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 22(1), 129–146. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-051117-073034>
- Jacob, D., & Banisch, S. (2023). Polarization in Social Media: A Virtual Worlds-Based Approach. *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation*, 26(3), 11. <https://doi.org/10.18564/jasss.5170>
- Jenkins, H., Purushotma, R., Weigel, M., Clinton, K., & Robison, A. J. (2009). *Confronting the Challenges of Participatory Culture: Media Education for the 21st Century*. The MIT Press. <https://doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/8435.001.0001>
- Jucá, A. M., Lotto, M., Cruvinel, A., & Cruvinel, T. (2024). Characterization of polarized scientific digital messages: A scoping review. *Journal of Science Communication*, 23(08). <https://doi.org/10.22323/2.23080201>

- Kompas, T. H. (2024, February 2). *Konten Kampanye Politik di Media Sosial Lebih Kaya Visual*. Kompas.id.
<https://www.kompas.id/baca/ekonomi/2024/02/01/konten-kampanye-politik-di-media-sosial-lebih-kaya-visual>
- Kouam Arthur William, F. (2024). Interpretivism or Constructivism: Navigating Research Paradigms in Social Science Research. *International Journal of Research Publications*, 143(1). <https://doi.org/10.47119/IJRP1001431220246122>
- Loader, B. D., Vromen, A., & Xenos, M. A. (Eds.). (2014). *The networked young citizen: Social media, political participation and civic engagement*. Routledge.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315778594>
- Mall, R., Nagpal, M., Salminen, J., Almerakhi, H., Jung, S., & Jansen, B. J. (2024). Politics on YouTube: Detecting Online Group Polarization Based on News Videos' Comments. *Sage Open*, 14(2).
<https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440241256438>
- McCoy, J., & Somer, M. (2021). Overcoming Polarization. *Journal of Democracy*, 32(1), 6–21. <https://doi.org/10.1353/jod.2021.0012>
- Mietzner, M. (2018). Fighting Illiberalism with Illiberalism: Islamist Populism and Democratic Deconsolidation in Indonesia. *Pacific Affairs*, 91(2), 261–282.
<https://doi.org/10.5509/2018912261>
- Olivares-Blanco, J. (2021). The global rise of populism: Performance, political style, and representation: by B. Moffitt. *Global Affairs*, 7(1), 88–90.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/23340460.2021.1918015>
- Reidy, K., Abbott, K., & Parker, S. (2025). 'So they hit each other': Gendered constructions of domestic abuse in the YouTube commentary of the Depp v Heard trial. *Critical Discourse Studies*, 22(3), 281–298.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17405904.2023.2291130>
- Scammell, M. (2015). Politics and Image: The Conceptual Value of Branding. *Journal of Political Marketing*, 14(1–2), 7–18.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15377857.2014.990829>
- Schill, D. (2012). The Visual Image and the Political Image: A Review of Visual Communication Research in the Field of Political Communication. *Review of Communication*, 12(2), 118–142. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15358593.2011.653504>
- Smith, G., & French, A. (2009). The political brand: A consumer perspective. *Marketing Theory*, 9(2), 209–226. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470593109103068>