

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Aarshi, S., Tariq, U., Hayat, B., Habib, F., Ashfaq, K., & Saleem, I. (2018). Dimensions of Open Government Data Web Portals: A Case of Asian Countries. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 9(6), 459–469. <https://doi.org/10.14569/IJACSA.2018.090663>
- Abele-Brehm, A. E., Gollwitzer, M., Steinberg, U., & Schönbrodt, F. D. (2019). Attitudes Toward Open Science and Public Data Sharing. *Social Psychology*, 50(4), 252–260. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1864-9335/a000384>
- Abella, A., Ortiz-de-Urbina-Criado, M., & De-Pablos-Herederó, C. (2019). The process of open data publication and reuse. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 70(3), 296–300. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.24116>
- Abo-Elnaga, Y., & Nasr, S. (2022). K-means cluster interactive algorithm-based evolutionary approach for solving bilevel multi-objective programming problems. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 61(1), 811–827. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2021.04.098>
- Ahsan, M. M., Mahmud, M. Ap., Saha, P. K., Gupta, K. D., & Siddique, Z. (2021). Effect of Data Scaling Methods on Machine Learning Algorithms and Model Performance. *Technologies*, 9(3), 1–17. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/technologies9030052>
- Alasadi, S. A., & Bhaya Wesam S. (2017). Review of Data Preprocessing Techniques in Data Mining. *Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 12(16), 4102–4107.
- Alderete, M. V. (2020). Towards Measuring the Economic Impact of Open Data by Innovating and Doing Business. *International Journal of Innovation and Technology Management*, 17(03). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219877020500224>
- Alma'arif, & Wargadinata, E. L. (2022). Adopting Open Government in Local Development Planning. *JKAP (Jurnal Kebijakan Dan Administrasi Publik)*, 26(1), 18–32.
- Apanasevic, T. (2021). Socio-economic effects and the value of open data: A case from Sweden. *ITS Biennial Conference*, 1–33. <https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/238004/1/Apanasevic.pdf>
- Arora, P., Boyne, D., Slater, J. J., Gupta, A., Brenner, D. R., & Druzdzal, M. J. (2019). Bayesian Networks for Risk Prediction Using Real-World Data: A Tool for Precision Medicine. *Value in Health*, 22(4), 439–445. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2019.01.006>
- Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-Efficacy: The Exercise of Control*. Worth Publisher. https://books.google.co.id/books/about/Self_Efficacy.html?hl=id&id=eJ-PN9g_o-EC&redir_esc=y
- Bank, world, & Vietnam, G. (2019). *Digital Government and Open Data Readiness Assessment*. World Bank, Washington, DC. <https://doi.org/10.1596/32547>
- Barbrook-Johnson, P., & Penn, A. S. (2022). Bayesian Belief Networks. In *Systems Mapping* (pp. 97–112). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-01919-7_7
- Barcellos, R., Bernardini, F., & Viterbo, J. (2022). Towards defining data interpretability in open data portals: Challenges and research opportunities.

- Information Systems*, 106, 101961. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.is.2021.101961>
- Belhiah, M., & Bounabat, B. (2017). A User-Centered Model for Assessing and Improving Open Government Data Quality. *The International Consultation on Incontinence Questionnaire (In ICIQ)*, 1–13. <chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://ualr.edu/informationquality/files/2023/02/P13-ICIQ2017-A-User-Centered-Model.pdf>
- Bhatia, J., Evans, M. C., & Breaux, T. D. (2019). Identifying incompleteness in privacy policy goals using semantic frames. *Requirements Engineering*, 24(3), 291–313. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00766-019-00315-y>
- Bogers, M., Chesbrough, H., & Moedas, C. (2018). Open Innovation: Research, Practices, and Policies. *California Management Review*, 60(2), 5–16. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125617745086>
- Bonatti, P. A., Kirrane, S., Petrova, I. M., & Sauro, L. (2020). Machine Understandable Policies and GDPR Compliance Checking. *KI - Künstliche Intelligenz*, 34(3), 303–315. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13218-020-00677-4>
- Burgelman, J.-C., Pascu, C., Szkuta, K., Von Schomberg, R., Karalopoulos, A., Repanas, K., & Schouppe, M. (2019). Open Science, Open Data, and Open Scholarship: European Policies to Make Science Fit for the Twenty-First Century. *Frontiers in Big Data*, 2, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fdata.2019.00043>
- Carrara, W., Fischer, S., & van Steenberg, E. (2020). *Open Data Maturity in Europe 2015*.
- Causon, P. D., Jude, S., Gill, A. B., & Leinster, P. (2022). Critical evaluation of ecosystem changes from an offshore wind farm: producing natural capital asset and risk registers. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 136, 772–785. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2022.07.003>
- Chai, T.-Y., Goi, B.-M., & Yap, W.-S. (2021). Towards Better Performance for Protected Iris Biometric System with Confidence Matrix. *Symmetry*, 13(5), 910. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13050910>
- Charalabidis, Y., Zuiderwijk, A., Alexopoulos, C., Janssen, M., Lampoltshammer, T., & Ferro, E. (2018). *The World of Open Data* (Vol. 28). Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-90850-2>
- Creswell, J. W., Klassen, A. C., Clark, V. L. P., & Smith, K. C. (2011). *Best Practices for Mixed Methods Research in the Health Sciences*. https://www.csun.edu/sites/default/files/best_prac_mixed_methods.pdf
- Davies, T., Walker, S. B., Rubinstein, M., & Perini, F. (2019). *The State of Open Data: Histories and Horizons*. African Minds and IDRC. <https://doi.org/10.47622/9781928331957>
- Dawes, S. S., Vidiasova, L., & Parkhimovich, O. (2016). Planning and designing open government data programs: An ecosystem approach. *Government Information Quarterly*, 33(1), 15–27. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2016.01.003>
- de Juana-Espinosa, S., & Luján-Mora, S. (2020). de Juana-Espinosa, S., & Luján-Mora, S. (2020). Open government data portals in the European Union: A dataset from 2015 to 2017. In *Data in Brief* (Vol. 29). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2020.105156>
- Del Vecchio, P., Di Minin, A., Petruzzelli, A. M., Panniello, U., & Pirri, S. (2018). Big data for open innovation in SMEs and large corporations: Trends, opportunities, and challenges. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 27(1), 6–22. <https://doi.org/10.1111/caim.12224>

- Desvars-Larrive, A., Dervic, E., Haug, N., Niederkrotenthaler, T., Chen, J., Di Natale, A., Lasser, J., Gliga, D. S., Roux, A., Sorger, J., Chakraborty, A., Ten, A., Dervic, A., Pacheco, A., Jurczak, A., Cserjan, D., Lederhilger, D., Bulska, D., Berishaj, D., ... Thurner, S. (2020). A structured open dataset of government interventions in response to COVID-19. *Scientific Data*, 7(1), 285. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-00609-9>
- Dillon, R. L., Klein, G. A., Rogers, E. W., & Scolese, C. J. (2018). Improving the use of risk matrices at NASA. *2018 IEEE Aerospace Conference*, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1109/AERO.2018.8396382>
- Dymora, P., Mazurek, M., & Kowal, B. (2018). Open data – an introduction to the issue. *ITM Web of Conferences*, 21, 00017. <https://doi.org/10.1051/itmconf/20182100017>
- Enghoof, H. (2009). What is taxonomy?—An overview with myriapodological examples. *Soil Organisms*, 2, 441–451.
- Enghoof, H. ', & Seberg., O. (2006). A taxonomy of taxonomy and taxonomists. *The Systematist, Newsletter of the Systematics Association*, 27, 13–15.
- Ferreira, R., Praia, V., Filho, H., Bonecini, F., Vieira, A., & Lopez, F. (2017). Platform of the Brazilian CSOs: Open Government Data and Crowdsourcing for the Promotion of Citizenship. *Anais Do Simpósio Brasileiro de Sistemas de Informação (SBSI)*, 17–24. <https://doi.org/10.5753/sbsi.2017.6021>
- Firmansyah, A. D., & Susanto, T. D. (2023). The unsuccessful of Satu Data Indonesia(SDI) implementation: a lesson learned from local government. *Jurnal Mantik*, 7(1), 311–320. <https://iocscience.org/ejournal/index.php/mantik/article/view/3768/2719>
- Fischhoff, B. (2011). Communicating About Analysis. In *ntelligence Analysis: Behavioral and Social Scientific Foundations* (p. 227).
- Gil-Garcia, J. R., Dawes, S. S., & Pardo, T. A. (2017). Digital government and public management research: finding the crossroads. *Public Management Review*, 20(3), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2017.1327181>
- Gonzalez-Zapata, F., & Heeks, R. (2015). The multiple meanings of open government data: Understanding different stakeholders and their perspectives. *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(4), 441–452. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2015.09.001>
- Haendel, M. A., Chute, C. G., Bennett, T. D., Eichmann, D. A., Guinney, J., Kibbe, W. A., Payne, P. R. O., Pfaff, E. R., Robinson, P. N., Saltz, J. H., Spratt, H., Suver, C., Wilbanks, J., Wilcox, A. B., Williams, A. E., Wu, C., Blacketer, C., Bradford, R. L., Cimino, J. J., ... Gersing, K. R. (2020). The National COVID Cohort Collaborative (N3C): Rationale, design, infrastructure, and deployment. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 28(3), 427–443. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocaa196>
- Hanelt, P. (2018). Taxonomy, Evolution, and History. In *Onions and Allied Crops* (pp. 1–26). CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781351075169-1>
- Hansen, H. S., & Schrøder, L. (2019). The Societal Benefits of Open Government Data with Particular Emphasis on Geospatial Information. In *Electronic Government and the Information Systems Perspective (EGOVIS 2019)* (pp. 31–44). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-27523-5_3
- Hardy, K., & Maurushat, A. (2017). Opening up government data for Big Data analysis and public benefit. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 33(1), 30–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2016.11.003>

- Hawken, S., Han, H., & Pettit, C. (2019). Open cities | Open data: Collaborative cities in the information era. In *Open Cities | Open Data: Collaborative Cities in the Information Era*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-6605-5>
- Hohmann, E., Brand, J. C., Rossi, M. J., & Lubowitz, J. H. (2018). Expert Opinion Is Necessary: Delphi Panel Methodology Facilitates a Scientific Approach to Consensus. *Arthroscopy: The Journal of Arthroscopic & Related Surgery*, 34(2), 349–351. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2017.11.022>
- Holmlund, M., Van Vaerenbergh, Y., Ciuchita, R., Ravald, A., Sarantopoulos, P., Ordenes, F. V., & Zaki, M. (2020). Customer experience management in the age of big data analytics: A strategic framework. *Journal of Business Research*, 116, 356–365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.01.022>
- Hossain, M. A., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Rana, N. P. (2015). State-of-the-art in open data research: Insights from existing literature and a research agenda. *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce*, 26(1), 14–40. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10919392.2015.1124007>
- Hu, Y., Bai, X., & Sun, S. (2016). Readiness assessment of open government data programs: A case of shenzhen. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 08-10-June-2016. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2912160.2912179>
- Ibrahim, A., Abdullah, S. Do, & Arief, A. (2020). Benefits and Barriers of Open and One Government Data: A Systematic Review. *Workshop on Environmental Science, Society, and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/1125/1/012026>
- Idmessaoud, Y., Dubois, D., & Guiochet, J. (2022). *A Qualitative Counterpart of Belief Functions with Application to Uncertainty Propagation in Safety Cases* (pp. 231–241). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-17801-6_22
- Idmessaoud, Y., Dubois, D., & Guiochet, J. (2024). Confidence assessment in safety argument structure - Quantitative vs. qualitative approaches. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*, 165, 109100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijar.2023.109100>
- Islami, M. J. (2021). Implementasi Satu Data Indonesia: Tantangan dan Critical Success Factors (CSFs). *Jurnal Komunika: Jurnal Komunikasi, Media Dan Informatika*, 10(1), 13. <https://doi.org/10.31504/komunika.v10i1.3750>
- Jäger, B., Bartenberger, M., & Leitner, P. (2013). Open data: Challenges and future directions. In B. White & P. Isaías (Eds.), *Proceedings of the IADIS International Conference WWW/Internet 2013, ICWI 2013* (pp. 363–367). https://api.elsevier.com/content/abstract/scopus_id/84945898105
- Jamieson, D., Wilson, R., & Martin, M. (2019). The (im)possibilities of open data? *Public Money & Management*, 39(5), 364–368. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540962.2019.1611240>
- Janssen, M., Brous, P., Estevez, E., Barbosa, L. S., & Janowski, T. (2020). Data governance: Organizing data for trustworthy Artificial Intelligence. *Government Information Quarterly*, 37(3), 101493. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2020.101493>
- Janssen, M., Charalabidis, Y., & Zuiderwijk, A. (2012). Benefits, Adoption Barriers and Myths of Open Data and Open Government. *Information Systems Management*, 29(4), 258–268. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10580530.2012.716740>
- Janssen, M., & van den Hoven, J. (2015). Big and Open Linked Data (BOLD) in government: A challenge to transparency and privacy? *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(4), 363–368. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2015.11.007>

- Jelenic, M. C. (2020). From theory to practice: Open government data, accountability and service delivery. In *Situating Open Data: Global Trends in Local Contexts*. African Minds. https://doi.org/10.47622/978-1-928502-12-8_10
- Kammerstetter, M., Langer, L., Skopik, F., KUzoz, F., & Kastner, W. (2014). Practical Risk Assessment Using a Cumulative Smart Grid Model. *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Smart Grids and Green IT Systems*, 31–42. <https://doi.org/10.5220/0004860900310042>
- Kassen, M. (2020). Open data and its peers: understanding promising harbingers from Nordic Europe. *Aslib Journal of Information Management*, 72(5), 765–785. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJIM-12-2019-0364>
- Kawashita, I., Baptista, A. A., & Soares, D. (2020). An Assessment of Open Government Data Benchmark Instruments. *IFIP EGOV-CeDEM-EPART 2020*, 29–40. <https://dgsociety.org/egov-2020/>
- Klein, R. H., Klein, D. B., & Luciano, E. M. (2018). OPEN GOVERNMENT DATA: CONCEPTS, APPROACHES AND DIMENSIONS OVER TIME. *Revista Economia & Gestão*, 18(49), 4–24. <https://doi.org/10.5752/P.1984-6606.2018v18n49p4-24>
- Kuchta, D., & Ptaszyńska, E. (2016). USING RISK REGISTER IN RESEARCH PROJECTS. *EDULEARN16 Proceedings*, 8207–8213. <https://doi.org/10.21125/edulearn.2016.0793>
- Lämmerhirt, D., Gray, J., Venturini, T., & Meunier, A. (2019). Advancing Sustainability Together? Citizen-Generated Data and the Sustainable Development Goals. *SSRN*, 77. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3320467
- Lassinantti, J., Bergvall-Kareborn, B., & Stahlbrost, A. (2014). Shaping Local Open Data Initiatives: Politics and Implications. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research*, 9(2), 5–6. <https://doi.org/10.4067/S0718-18762014000200003>
- Lassinatti, J. (2019). RE-USE OF PUBLIC SECTOR OPEN DATA - CHARACTERISING THE PHENOMENA. *International Journal of Public Information Systems*, 1, 1–30. [https://doi.org/open data re-use, open government; open data; PSI-directive](https://doi.org/open%20data%20re-use,%20open%20government;%20open%20data;%20PSI-directive)
- Leva, M. C., Balfe, N., McAleer, B., & Rocke, M. (2017). Risk registers: Structuring data collection to develop risk intelligence. *Safety Science*, 100, 143–156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2017.05.009>
- Lin, Q., Lima, P., Steger, S., Glade, T., Jiang, T., Zhang, J., Liu, T., & Wang, Y. (2021). National-scale data-driven rainfall induced landslide susceptibility mapping for China by accounting for incomplete landslide data. *Geoscience Frontiers*, 12(6), 101248. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsf.2021.101248>
- Lipton, V. (2020). The Case for Open Scientific Data: Theory, Benefits, Costs and Opportunities. In *Open Scientific Data - Why Choosing and Reusing the RIGHT DATA Matters*. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.91718>
- Lnenicka, M. (2015). An In-Depth Analysis of Open Data Portals as an Emerging Public E-Service. *International Journal of Social, Behavioral, Educational, Economic, Business and Industrial Engineering*, 9, 1–11. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/316644839_An_In-Depth_Analysis_of_Open_Data_Portals_as_an_Emerging_Public_E-Service
- Lnenicka, M., & Nikiforova, A. (2021). Transparency-by-design: What is the role of

- open data portals? *Telematics and Informatics*, 61, 101605. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2021.101605>
- Loidl, M. (2021). Data availability for spatial modelling of danger zones in roadnetworks - an investigation of midsized smart cities in Europe. *AGIT- Journal Fur Angewandte Geoinformatik*, 7, 117–123. doi:10.14627/537707014
- Luthfi, A., Janssen, M., & Cromptvoets, J. (2018). Conference Proceeding A framework for Analyzing How Governments Open Their Data: Institution, Technology, and Process Aspects Influencing Decision-making. *Proceedings of the International Conference EGOV-CeDEM-EPart 2018*, 163–172.
- Máchová, R., Hub, M., & Lnenicka, M. (2018). Usability evaluation of open data portals. *Aslib Journal of Information Management*, 70(3), 252–268. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJIM-02-2018-0026>
- Madni, A. M., & Sievers, M. (2018). Model-based systems engineering: Motivation, current status, and research opportunities. *Systems Engineering*, 21(3), 172–190. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sys.21438>
- Martins, J. & Thompson, R. (2019). Open Data and Policy Frameworks: A Cross-Country Analysis. *Public Policy and Digital Transformation*, 23(2), 159–176.
- McCann, R. K., Marcot, B. G., & Ellis, R. (2006). Bayesian belief networks: applications in ecology and natural resource management. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, 36(12), 3053–3062. <https://doi.org/10.1139/x06-238>
- McKelvey, F., & MacDonald, M. (2019). Artificial Intelligence Policy Innovations at the Canadian Federal Government. *Canadian Journal of Communication*, 44(2), PP-43-PP-50. <https://doi.org/10.22230/cjc.2019v44n2a3509>
- Mention, A.-L. (2019). *Digital Innovation : Harnessing the value of open data* (Vol. 04). WORLD SCIENTIFIC. <https://doi.org/10.1142/11026>
- Mergel, I. (2018). Open innovation in the public sector: drivers and barriers for the adoption of Challenge.gov. *Public Management Review*, 20(5), 726–745. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2017.1320044>
- Meshkat, L., & Miller, R. L. (2022). Quantifying Cybersecurity Risk for NASA Missions. *2022 Annual Reliability and Maintainability Symposium (RAMS)*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1109/RAMS51457.2022.9893983>
- Mohamed, M., Pillutla, S., & Tomasi, S. (2020). Extraction of knowledge from open government data. *VINE Journal of Information and Knowledge Management Systems*, 50(3), 495–511. <https://doi.org/10.1108/VJKMS-05-2019-0065>
- Molinari, F., & Concilio, G. (2017). Culture, motivation and advocacy: Relevance of psycho social aspects in public data disclosure. In D. Rouco & V. Borges (Eds.), *Proceedings of the European Conference on e-Government, ECEG* (pp. 86–95). <https://www-scopus-com.proxy.undip.ac.id/record/display.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85028447904&origin=resultslist&sort=plf-f&src=s&sid=54f2bc5506ee451778b9821eae6460be&sot=b&sdt=b&s=TITLE-ABS-KEY%28Culture%2C+motivation+and+advocacy%3A+Relevance+of+psycho+social+aspects+in+public+data+disclosure%29&sl=109&sessionSearchId=54f2bc5506ee451778b9821eae6460be&relpos=0>
- Molloy, J. C. (2011). The Open Knowledge Foundation: Open Data Means Better Science. *PLoS Biology*, 9(12), e1001195. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001195>
- Montiel-Ponsoda, E., Rodríguez-Doncel, V., & Gracia, J. (2018). Building the legal

- knowledge graph for smart compliance services in multilingual europe. In CEUR workshop proc. *In CEUR Workshop Proc.*, 19–22. chrome-extension://efaidnbmnnnibpcajpcglclefindmkaj/https://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2049/02paper.pdf
- Newton, K., & Norris, P. (2000). THREE. Confidence in Public Institutions: Faith, Culture, or Performance? In *Disaffected Democracies* (pp. 52–73). Princeton University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9780691186849-007>
- Nikiforova, A., & McBride, K. (2021). Open government data portal usability: A user-centred usability analysis of 41 open government data portals. *Telematics and Informatics*, 58, 101539. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2020.101539>
- Nundy, S., Kakar, A., & Bhutta, Z. A. (2021). Systematic, Scoping and Narrative Reviews. In *How to Practice Academic Medicine and Publish from Developing Countries?* (pp. 277–281). Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-5248-6_29
- O’Har, J. P., Senesi, C. W., & Molenaar, K. R. (2017). Development of a Risk Register Spreadsheet Tool for Enterprise- and Program-Level Risk Management. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, 2604(1), 19–27. <https://doi.org/10.3141/2604-03>
- Palagini, L., Manni, R., Aguglia, E., Amore, M., Brugnoli, R., Girardi, P., Grassi, L., Mencacci, C., Plazzi, G., Minervino, A., Nobili, L., & Biggio, G. (2020). Expert Opinions and Consensus Recommendations for the Evaluation and Management of Insomnia in Clinical Practice: Joint Statements of Five Italian Scientific Societies. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2020.00558>
- Peck, S., Burch, J., & Grudnitski, G. (1990). Information Systems: Theory and Practice. *The Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 41(10), 991. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2583281>
- Peeters, W., & Peng, Z. (2015). An Approach Towards Global Standardization of The Risk. *Matrix. Journal of Space Safety Engineering*, 1(2).
- Pereira, G. V., Macadar, M. A., Luciano, E. M., & Testa, M. G. (2017). Delivering public value through open government data initiatives in a Smart City context. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 19(2), 213–229. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-016-9673-7>
- Purwanto, A., Zuiderwijk, A., & M, J. (2020). Citizen engagement with open government data: Lessons learned from Indonesia’s presidential election. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 14(1), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-06-2019-0051>
- Quarati, A. (2021). Open Government Data: Usage trends and metadata quality. *Journal of Information Science*, 49(3), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01655515211027775>
- Reddy, G. T., Reddy, M. P. K., Lakshmana, K., Kaluri, R., Rajput, D. S., Srivastava, G., & Baker, T. (2020). Analysis of Dimensionality Reduction Techniques on Big Data. *IEEE Access*, 8, 54776–54788. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2980942>
- Rico-Juan, J. R., & Calvo-Zaragoza, J. (2015). Improving classification using a Confidence Matrix based on weak classifiers applied to OCR. *Neurocomputing*, 151(3), 1354–1361. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2014.10.058>
- Rojas, L. A. R., Lovelle, J. M. C., Bermúdez, G. M. T., Montenegro, C. E., Ory, D. E. G., & Crespo, R. A. G. (2018). Metamodel to support decision-making from open

- government data. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12652-016-0443-7>
- Ruijter, E., Détienne, F., Baker, M., Groff, J., & Meijer, A. J. (2020). The politics of open government data: Understanding organizational responses to pressure for more transparency. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 50(3), 260–274.
- Ruijter, E., Grimmelikhuijsen, S., Hogan, M., Enzerink, S., Ojo, A., & Meijer, A. (2017). Connecting societal issues, users and data. Scenario-based design of open data platforms. *Government Information Quarterly*, 34(3), 470–480. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2017.06.003>
- Safarov, I., Meijer, A., & Grimmelikhuijsen, S. (2017). Utilization of open government data: A systematic literature review of types, conditions, effects and users. *Information Polity*, 22(1), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.3233/IP-160012>
- Sarkis-Onofre, R., Catalá-López, F., Aromataris, E., & Lockwood, C. (2021). How to properly use the PRISMA Statement. *Systematic Reviews*, 10(1), 117. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-021-01671-z>
- Sayogo, D. S., & Yuli, S. B. C. (2018). Critical Success Factors of Open Government and Open Data at Local Government Level in Indonesia. *International Journal of Electronic Government Research*, 14(2), 28–43. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJEGR.2018040103>
- Schmidhuber, L., Stütz, S., & Hilgers, D. (2019). Outcomes of open government: Does an online platform improve citizens' perception of local government? *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 32(5), 438–456. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPSM-02-2018-0056>
- Seasly, E. (2018). Methodology to Evaluate Proposed Leading Indicators of Space System Performance Degradation Due to Contamination. *The George Washington University*.
- Silva, P., Gonçalves, C., Antunes, N., Curado, M., & Walek, B. (2022). Privacy risk assessment and privacy-preserving data monitoring. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 200, 116867. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2022.116867>
- Sivarajah, U., Kamal, M. M., Irani, Z., & Weerakkody, V. (2017). Critical analysis of Big Data challenges and analytical methods. *Journal of Business Research*, 70, 263–286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.08.001>
- Slobodova, O. (2020). Motivations of civil society actors to reuse open data. Case study of discrete actors in Lyon. *Bitácora Urbano Territorial*, 30(3), 55–70. <https://doi.org/10.15446/bitacora.v30n3.80740>
- Smith, J. R., Musgrave, S., Payerne, E., Noble, M., Sims, E. J., Clark, A. B., Barton, G., Pinnock, H., Sheikh, A., & Wilson, A. M. (2018). At-risk registers integrated into primary care to stop asthma crises in the UK (ARRISA-UK): study protocol for a pragmatic, cluster randomised trial with nested health economic and process evaluations. *Trials*, 19(1), 466. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-018-2816-z>
- Smithies, J., Millar, P., & Thomson, C. (2015). Open Principles, Open Data: The Design Principles and Architecture of the UC CEISMIC Canterbury Earthquakes Digital Archive. *Journal of the Japanese Association for Digital Humanities*, 1(1), 10–36. https://doi.org/10.17928/jjadh.1.1_10
- Stagars, M. (2016). Conceptual and Legal Framework, Definitions, Principles, and Global Open Data Initiatives. In *Open Data in Southeast Asia* (pp. 29–54). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-32170-7_3

- Susha, I., Gronlund, A., & Janssen, M. (2015a). Driving factors of service innovation using open government data: An exploratory study of entrepreneurs in two countries. *Information Polity*, 20(1), 19–34. <https://doi.org/10.3233/IP-150353>
- Susha, I., Gronlund, A., & Janssen, M. (2015b). Organizational measures to stimulate user engagement with open data. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 9(2), 181–206. doi:10.1108/TG-05-2014-0016
- Susha, I., Zuiderwijk, A., Janssen, M., & Grönlund, Å. (2015). Benchmarks for Evaluating the Progress of Open Data Adoption. *Social Science Computer Review*, 33(5), 613–630. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0894439314560852>
- Tan, G. K. S., & Lim, S. S. (2022). Communicative strategies for building public confidence in data governance: Analyzing Singapore’s COVID-19 contact-tracing initiatives. *Big Data & Society*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/205395172211104086>
- Tonelli, M. R., & Shapiro, D. (2020). Experiential knowledge in clinical medicine: use and justification. *Theoretical Medicine and Bioethics*, 41(2–3), 67–82. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11017-020-09521-0>
- Topuz, K., Davazdahemami, B., & Delen, D. (2024). A Bayesian belief network-based analytics methodology for early-stage risk detection of novel diseases. *Annals of Operations Research*, 341(1), 673–697. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-023-05377-4>
- Tornetta, G. N. (2021). Entropy methods for the confidence assessment of probabilistic classification models. *Statistic : Machine Learning*.
- Troudet, J., Grandcolas, P., Blin, A., Vignes-Lebbe, R., & Legendre, F. (2017). Taxonomic bias in biodiversity data and societal preferences. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 9132. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-09084-6>
- Twizeyimana, J. D., & Andersson, A. (2019). The public value of E-Government – A literature review. *Government Information Quarterly*, 36(2), 167–178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2019.01.001>
- Urbinati, A., Chiaroni, D., Chiesa, V., & Frattini, F. (2020). The role of digital technologies in open innovation processes: an exploratory multiple case study analysis. *R&D Management*, 50(1), 136–160. <https://doi.org/10.1111/radm.12313>
- Uzulāns, J. (2016). Project Risk Register Analysis Based on the Theoretical Analysis of Project Management Notion of Risk. *Economics and Business*, 29(1), 43–48. <https://doi.org/10.1515/eb-2016-0020>
- van Dalen, H. P. (2021). How the publish-or-perish principle divides a science: the case of economists. *Scientometrics*, 126(2), 1675–1694. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03786-x>
- van Loenen, B., Vancauwenberghe, G., Crompvoets, J., & Dalla Corte, L. (2018). *Open Data Exposed* (pp. 1–10). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6265-261-3_1
- Vess, J., Ward, T., & Yates, P. M. (2017). The Ethics of Risk Assessment. In *Assessments in Forensic Practice* (pp. 370–386). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118314531.ch18>
- Wang, P. (2013). A Defect in Dempster-Shafer Theory. *Computer Science > Artificial Intelligence*.
- Wanna, J., & Vincent, S. (2018). *Opening Government: Transparency and Engagement in the Information Age* (J. Wanna & S. Vincent, Eds.). ANU Press. <https://doi.org/10.22459/OG.04.2018>
- Wieczorkowski, J. (2019, September). Open Data as a Source of Product and Organizational Innovations. *Innovation and Entrepreneurship ECIE 2019*.

- <https://doi.org/10.34190/ECIE.19.190>
- Yetley, E. A., MacFarlane, A. J., Greene-Finestone, L. S., Garza, C., Ard, J. D., Atkinson, S. A., Bier, D. M., Carriquiry, A. L., Harlan, W. R., Hattis, D., King, J. C., Krewski, D., O'Connor, D. L., Prentice, R. L., Rodricks, J. V., & Wells, G. A. (2017). Options for basing Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs) on chronic disease endpoints: report from a joint US-/Canadian-sponsored working group. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, *105*(1), 249S-285S. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.116.139097>
- Yuan, Q., & Gasco-Hernandez, M. (2021). Open innovation in the public sector: creating public value through civic hackathons. *Public Management Review*, *23*(4), 523–544. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2019.1695884>
- Zhu, X., & Freeman, M. A. (2019). An evaluation of US municipal open data portals: A user interaction framework. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, *70*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.24081>



SEKOLAH PASCASARJANA