


Turnitin Originality Report

The Effect of risk disclosure on the cost of equity and firm value by The Effect Of Risk Disclosure On The Cost Of Equit 

From Quick Submit (Quick Submit)

- Processed on 24-Apr-2020 12:58 WIB
- ID: 1306310143
- Word Count: 3721

Similarity Index

13%

Similarity by Source

Internet Sources:

6%

Publications:

12%

Student Papers:

N/A

sources:

- 1% match (publications)
[Weli -, Julianti Sjarief. "The Effect of Internal Control Disclosure on Financial Information Quality and Market Performance distinguished by the Corporate Governance Index", International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting, 2018](#)
- 1% match (publications)
[Vivien Beattie, Sarah Jane Smith. "Evaluating disclosure theory using the views of UK finance directors in the intellectual capital context", Accounting and Business Research, 2012](#)
- 1% match (publications)
[B Solikhah, A Yulianto, T Suryarini. "Legitimacy Theory Perspective on the Quality of Carbon Emission Disclosure: Case Study on Manufacturing Companies in Indonesia Stock Exchange", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2020](#)
- 1% match (publications)
[Mohamad Adam, Riska Safitri, Tertiarto Wahyudi. "Effect of company size, liquidity and operational efficiency on bank profitability with problem credit risk as a moderating variable at commercial banks that are listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange", Jurnal Perspektif Pembiayaan dan Pembangunan Daerah, 2018](#)
- 1% match (publications)
[Tatjana Dolinšek, Andreja Lutar-Skerbinjek. "Voluntary disclosure of financial information on the internet by large companies in Slovenia", Kybernetes, 2018](#)
- 1% match (Internet from 18-Mar-2020)
<http://repositori.usu.ac.id/handle/123456789/24358?show=full>
- 1% match (publications)
[Guochang Zhang. "Accounting Information and Equity Valuation", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2014](#)

1% match (publications)

- 8 [Journal of Applied Accounting Research, Volume 14, Issue 1 \(2013-05-27\)](#)
-
- 9 1% match (publications)
[Sebastián Bruque, José Moyano, Alfonso Vargas, M. Jesús Hernández. "Ownership Structure, Technological Endowment and Competitive Advantage: Do Democracy and Business Fit?", Technology Analysis & Strategic Management, 2003](#)
-
- 10 < 1% match (Internet from 11-May-2018)
<http://eprints.ums.ac.id/51682/>
-
- 11 < 1% match (Internet from 18-Dec-2019)
<http://www.ijeronline.com/documents/volumes/2019/Sept%20-%20Oct%202019/ijer%20v10i5%20so%20%286a%29.pdf>
-
- 12 < 1% match (publications)
[Tim V. Eaton, John R. Nofsinger, Daniel G. Weaver. "Disclosure and the cost of equity in international cross-listing", Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting, 2007](#)
-
- 13 < 1% match (Internet from 21-Jul-2019)
<http://qjrr.nfct.co.uk/index.php/qjrr/article/download/12/12>
-
- 14 < 1% match (Internet from 11-Apr-2020)
<https://unisbank.ac.id/ojs/index.php/fe8/article/view/7060>
-
- 15 < 1% match (Internet from 28-Mar-2020)
<https://worldwidescience.org/topicpages/a/aircraft+by+ownership.html>
-
- 16 < 1% match (Internet from 25-Aug-2016)
<http://dokumen.tips/documents/annual-report-2011-560c4bc098179.html>
-
- 17 < 1% match (publications)
[Magdalena Mikołajek-Gocejna. "Investor Expectations in Value Based Management", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2014](#)
-
- 18 < 1% match (publications)
[Edwin Sholeh Rahmanullah, Siti Nurjanah. "Influence of Product Quality, Price and Supporting Infrastructure to Perceived Value and Interest in Buying of Electric Motorcycle", MATEC Web of Conferences, 2018](#)
-
- 19 < 1% match (Internet from 04-Feb-2019)
<http://repository.wima.ac.id/17366/1/ABSTRAK.pdf>
-
- 20 < 1% match (Internet from 10-Mar-2020)
<https://download.atlantis-press.com/proceedings/icobame-18/authors?page=2>
-
- 21 < 1% match (publications)
[Dongheun Lee, Sejoong Lee, Na-Eun Cho. "Voluntary Disclosure and Market Valuation of Sustainability Reports in Korea: The Case of Chaebols", Sustainability, 2019](#)

< 1% match (publications)

22 [Mousa, Gehan A., and Elsayed A.H. Elamir. "The effect of governance mechanisms on the quality of risk disclosure: using bootstrap techniques", American J of Finance and Accounting, 2014.](#)

23 < 1% match (Internet from 10-Mar-2020)
<http://journal.feb.unmul.ac.id/index.php/JIMM/article/view/3759>

24 < 1% match (publications)
[Kiswanto, Atta Putra Harjanto, Trisni Suryarini, Nining Apriliyana, Abdul Kadir. "TAX AVOIDANCE IN INDONESIA: CONTEXT OF GOOD CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY", Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews, 2020](#)

25 < 1% match (publications)
[Zhang Yan. "Chapter 39 Synergy Development in New Energy Automobile Industry", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2016](#)

26 < 1% match (Internet from 04-Sep-2019)
<https://www.pressacademia.org/archives/jefa/v5/i1/13.pdf>

27 < 1% match (publications)
[Enzo Scannella, Salvatore Polizzi. "Do Large European Banks Differ in their Derivative Disclosure Practices? A Cross-Country Empirical Study", Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance, 2019](#)

paper text:

The Effect of risk disclosure on the cost of equity and firm

20 **value (an Empirical study of manufacturing companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange period**

2015-2017) Eka Sri Sumardani, Rr Sri Handayani Universitas Diponegoro Semarang, Central Java, Indonesia ABSTRACT

15 **This Study examines the effect of corporate risk disclosure on**

cost of equity capital and firm value. It uses the ratio of market value to book value, the ratio of leverage, consumer price index, growth, firm size, independent audit committee and net profit during the study period and net

17 **profit in the previous year** as control variable. **The**

population consists of all

14 **manufacturing companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for period 2015 – 2017. The sample was taken using a purposive sampling**

method, with total sample of 99 firms-years. The data were analysed using multiple regression analysis to test the hypothesis. The results indicate that corporate risk disclosure has a negative effect on the cost of equity capital and corporate risk disclosure has a positive effect on firm value. ABSTRAK Penelitian ini menguji pengaruh pengungkapan risiko perusahaan terhadap biaya modal ekuitas dan nilai perusahaan. Penelitian ini menggunakan rasio nilai pasar ekuitas terhadap nilai buku ekuitas, leverage, indeks harga konsumen, pertumbuhan perusahaan, besaran perusahaan, Komite Audit Independen, laba tahun berjalan periode penelitian dan laba tahun berjalan periode tahun sebelumnya sebagai variabel kontrol. Populasi penelitian ini terdiri dari seluruh

6 perusahaan manufaktur yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia periode 2015 – 2017. Sampel diambil dengan menggunakan metode purposive sampling,

yang terdiri dari 99 perusahaan. Data dianalisis menggunakan regresi berganda untuk pengujian hipotesis. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahwa pengungkapan risiko perusahaan berpengaruh negatif terhadap biaya modal ekuitas tetapi pengungkapan risiko perusahaan berpengaruh positif terhadap nilai perusahaan. 1.

INTRODUCTION Every company needs funds to carry its business activities. The company obtains their fund from equity and debt. Yet, the capital and debt acquisition will lead to a capital cost. If the company gets their capital from equity, it will lead to a cost of equity, while if the company gets the capital from debt, it will result in a cost of debt. According to Iffionie (2012), the cost of equity capital is how much the return targeted by investors and creditors. The cost of equity capital is an important factor in deciding the right financial structure (Dhaliwal et al., 2011). In addition, Utami in Ningsih and Ariani (2016), stated that the cost of equity capital is the amount of rate used by investors to discount the expected dividends in the future. The cost of equity capital also deals with investment risk from the stocks of the entity. One of the factors affecting the cost of equity capital is the existence of unbalanced information. Unbalanced information is the existence of unequal information between the manager and principal, or the organizer or manager knows more

24 information about the condition of the company than the principal does.

This makes **the**

manager obliged to convey a signal through disclosure of accounting information

4 about the condition of the company to the owner

or stockholders. Firm value (FV) is very important because with a high firm value, the company also has a high level of shareholder prosperity. A stock price has a relationship with the investors' perceptions about the level of a company's success or known as firm value. A high stock value will lead to an increase in the firm value and the market confidence toward the company's performance in the future. Investors who have more extensive information and more confidence in the company can judge more accurately about the company's value than the investors who have little information. Miihkinen (2013) found that disclosure can reduce information asymmetry, and low information asymmetry is usually found to be associated with higher firm value (FV) (Maizatulkama et al., 2015). Therefore, a company manager has a duty to manage the risk in his company because the uncertainty or risk will always have a relationship with the company's activities. Risk always comes up whenever and wherever. Risk is the possibility of loss with indicates an uncertainty condition. Therefore, the company should manage the risk in order they can minimize losses. Every company always deals with business risk and non-business risk. Business risk is the risk that can not be controlled by the company. There are many ways that companies do to avoid the risk that occur, that is. By applying

16risk management. Risk management is a structured approach or methodology in managing uncertainty related to

threats, including assessing the risks, developing strategy to manage them, and mitigating risks using resource empowerment or management. Risk management must be adequate so that they can manage the risk carefully and make appropriate decision making. Requests by stockholders or investors for more transparent disclosures make companies expand their relevant financial and non-financial information. Since 2000, the companies have done in many ways have, especially the financial industry, to implement risk management. For example, in 2010, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) socialized new regulations to increase risk disclosure including annual disclosure and reports. Recognizing that the information on risk disclosure is important, the Indonesia regulatory body made regulations that require companies to disclose information about risks in their annual reports. There are several regulations regarding risk disclosure, one of which is stated in the

3Decree of the Chairman of Capital Market and Financial Supervisory Agency (BAPEPAM- LK) Number: Kep- 431/BL/ 2012 concerning Submission of Annual Reports by Issuers or Public Companies. The regulation states that each company **must**

disclose information about overall risk management system, the manner or type of company risk management and

1review of the effectiveness of the risk management system

conducted by the company. The aims of this research are to understand risk disclosure, researchers should also know find evidence in some researches so that they can discuss more profoundly. However, there still very few studies that discuss the disclosure of risks related to the cost of equity capital and firms value. The result of research on the relationship between voluntary disclosure

12and the cost of equity capital shows that when the level of risk disclosure

is high, the company will reduce the

2cost of equity capital (Botosan, 1997).

Previous studies also found that the main factor that has a role in uncertainty in the current business environment is non-financial risk. However, information about non-financial risks is still less than information about financial risk (Lajili and Zeghal, 2010). The lack of information about non-financial risks can mislead investors in decision making. Miihkinen (2013) found that the disclosure of non-financial risk can reduce information asymmetry, and low information asymmetry is usually associated with higher company value (Maizatulkama et. Al., 2015). This research is based on signalling theory which explain why companies have the drive to provide financial statement information to external parties. Therefore, it is interesting for researchers to further investigate the disclosure of risks related to capital costs and company value. 2. Theoretical Framework and Hypothesis Risk disclosure is an important and useful information for external parties or investors, as consideration in making decisions. Therefore, the Indonesian regulatory body makes regulations that require companies to disclose information about risks management in the annual report.

15 **This study examines the effect of risk disclosure on the cost of equity and**

firm value in manufacturing industry

19 **listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) period 2015-2017. The relationship among variables in this study**

is as on Figure 1. Figure 1. Research Framework Figure 1 illustrates the effect of independent and control

13 **variables on the dependent variable.** The independent variables **in this study** is **the**

corporate risk disclosure. The first hypothesis uses the dependent variable of cost of capital of equity, while the second hypothesis uses the dependent variable of firm value. The straight line in Figure 1 indicates that there is an effect between independent variables and the dependent variable, while dotted line indicates that there is association between control variable and the dependent variable. In this study, the control variables are leverage ratio, independent audit committee, ratio of market value of equity to book value of equity, consumer price index, current income of research year, previous year income and company growth. The

27 **Relationship between Risk Disclosure and Cost of Equity Capital** The relationship between **risk disclosure**

2 **and the cost of equity capital**

is very interesting issue in the current economic environment. Stockholders argue that market efficiency depends on transparent disclosures that are comprehensive and relevant in value (Richardson and Welker, 2001). Disclosure of information can benefit companies through lower capital cost based on two aspect. First, disclosure reduce transaction costs. Increased disclosure of information can help potential investors to overcome the choice of differences from adverse bid-ask and reduce the cost of equity capital (Botosan, 1997). Second, increased disclosure reduce uncertainty or estimation risk (Clarkson, Guedes, and Thompson, 1996). This aspect give some benefits to the company. Botosan (1997) argue that companies try to make greater disclosures to reduce the cost of equity capital by reducing the non-diversifiable risk of estimation. Signalling

4 **theory emphasizes the importance of information released by companies on investment decisions of parties outside the company.** All investors need **information**

released by companies to evaluate the relative risk of each company so they can diversify portfolio and investment combination with desired risk preferences. If the signal or information received indicates good news, it has an effect on the increase in price and demand for the securities in the market. This can reduce transactions cost and increase liquidity, thereby reducing capital costs because transaction costs fall so that the

8adverse selection component of the bid-ask spread

is reduced and in the end the

21cost of equity capital also decrease. **The** results of

previous research

8on the relationship between the level of information **disclosure and the cost of equity capital**

show that the more level of accounting disclosure made by company, the lower the cost of equity capital. A study by Juniarti and Yunita (2003) also proves that voluntary disclosure can reduce the cost of equity capital. This means that the wider the disclosure

1of financial information, the lower the

of equity capital because investors' demand for compensation and transaction costs decrease.

1Based on the explanation described **above, the** hypothesis **can be formulated as** follos: **H1:**

There is

2negative relationship between level of corporate risk **disclosure (CRD) and the cost of equity capital**

of the company. The

21Relationship between Risk Disclosure and Firm Value Firm value is

highly depends on investor perceptions related to the ability of manager to manage the company. To be able to increase the company's credibility, management will

17provide a positive signal to investors. The signal is in

the form of information disclosure in the annual report which can be a positive signal or good news for investors. The more extensive the disclosure of information, the more consideration for analysing the compny's prospects in the future. With more consideration, the error in predicting the company's performance will decrease, then increasing the investor confidence in making a stock request. The increased stock demand makes stock price also go up. An increasing in stock prices indicate an increase in firm value. In the recent years, the use of signalling theory to describe behavioural effects

5when two parties have access to different information

has gained a great attention (Connelly et.al., 2011). In this theory, there are parties who act as senders, assumed to choose

5how to communicate or signal information; and

parties, who act as recipient, assumed to

5choose how to interpret the signal (Connelly et.al., 2011).

Studies on risk disclosure and firm value have been carried out. Some studies in developing countries show that voluntary disclosure has a positive effect on firm value (Uyar and Kilic, 2012). Risk management disclosure also has positive effect on firm value (Maizatulakma et.al., 2015). Therefore, it can be concluded that companies that disclose non-financial risk management information provide better disclosure quality and consequently can attract more investors and increase the company value. This study,

22based on the explanation **above**, formulates **the hypothesis as follows: H2:**
there is a positive relationship **between**

level of corporate risk disclosure (CRD) and firm value (FV) 3. RESEARCH METHOD Research Variables
The dependent variable is the variable influenced by

13the independent variables. The dependent variables used **in this study**

are

12cost of equity capital **and** firm value. **The cost of equity**

capital is the amount of return expected by investors in the future when investing in the form of ordinary stock in a company. The cost of equity of equity capital for a company is calculated based on the Price/Earning Growth Ratio Formula in Easton et.al. (2004) and recommended by Botosan & Plumee (2002) as follow: $Costit = PERit \cdot GrkwrhEPRit$ Note: Costit = Cost of Equity Capital

9for the company (i) in period (t)

$PERit =$ Ratio of stock price to stock

9income for the company (i) in period (t)

$GrowthEPSit =$ Ratio of earning growth per stock

9 **for the company (i) in period (t) Firm value is the**

company's performance reflected by the stock price that is due to the demand and supply in capital markets that reflect the public's assessment of the company's performance. Research is conducted by Maizatulakma et.al. (2015) using market capitalization (MCAP) to measure firm value (FV) > MCAP took into account overall market value of the company. Maizatulakma et.al. (2015) calculated MCAP by multiplying the company stock in circulation with the market price per company stock. The independent variable in this study is Company Risk Disclosure (CRD). The level of company risk disclosure is calculated using the method of content analysis of the annual report. Measurement of the level of risk disclosure is carried out by giving the same weight to each category, in which point '1' is given for the risk disclosure as required and point '0' is given for the category that is not disclosed on each identified item. These points are added to get a final score for each company every year. The risk disclosure category in this study refers to research conducted by Linsley and Shrivs (2006) where there are 6 risk disclosure categories. Calculating the level of risk disclosure as follows: Level of Risk Disclosure = $\sum rha\ aaraakry\ ka\ rirk\ airakrrra\ ay\ rha\ akkkaky\ \sum\ Rkrak\ rirk\ airakrrra\ aaraakriar$ This study uses several control variables with the aim of avoiding misspecification of empirical models that can cause the invalid statistical inference. Control variables used in this study are those as previous studies used and related to risk disclosure, cost of equity capital, and firm value. Population and Sample The population are the manufacturing companies which were into three sectors: the basic and chemical industry sector, various industry sector, and the consumer goods industry; and have a complete annual financial reports published in 2015-2017. It used a purposive sampling method to determine the sample based on the specified criteria. Types of Data and Sources The data used were the

23 **secondary data with the annual reports of manufacturing companies in the period 2015 -2017. The**

study obtained the data by accessed from the

6 **official website of the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX). The data**

for this study were from the Indonesia Stock Exchange and the Bloomberg terminal. Analysis Data This Study tested the hypothesis using a regression model as follows: $Cost_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 CRD_{it} + \beta_2 BETA_{it} + \beta_3 LEV_{it} + \beta_4 MBit + \beta_5 AC_{it} + \varepsilon$ (1) $FV_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 CRD_{it} + \beta_2 BLEV_{it} + \beta_3 SIZE_{it} + \beta_4 PROFIT_{it} + \beta_5 PROFIT_{it-1} + \beta_6 GROWTH_{it} + \varepsilon$ (2) Note: $Cost_{it}$ = Cost of Equity Capital company i year t CRD_{it} = Company Risk Disclosure company i year t $BETA_{it}$ = Systematic Risk LEV_{it} = Leverage $MBit$ = Ratio of Market value to Book value FV_{it} = Firm Value company i year t $SIZE_{it}$ = Firm Size $PROFIT_{it}$ =

25 **Net profit the current year $PROFIT_{it-1}$ = Net Profit for the previous year**

$GROWTH_{it}$ = Company growth ε_{it} = error term 4. DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION Description of the Research Object The object of

10 **this research is manufacturing companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange in 2015-2017. The research sample was the companies selected based on the predetermined criteria.**

Details of the object and sample of the study are on Table 2. Table 2 shows that from a total of 441 research objects, this study could use only 99 of research sample. The number of samples are limited, because they have to meet the criteria only. Descriptive Statistics Descriptive statistical analysis provides a description of research data that can show the maximum, minimum, standard deviation and mean values. Descriptive statistics and frequency distributions for dummy variables in this study are presented on Table 3.

11 Classical Assumption Test The **classical assumption test conducted** in this study **consists of normality test, multicollinearity test, autocorrelation test and heteroscedasticity test.** From all **the classical assumption test,**

this study can summarize as follows: i. The normality test using Kolmogorov-Smirnov test shows a probability value of 0.200 for each regression model. This indicate that the residuals are normally distributed because the probability value is greater than 0.05. ii. The multicollinearity test shows that the

18 tolerance value of all variables is greater than 0.10 and has a **VIF value** below **10.**

This indicates that there is no multicollinearity between the independent and control variables in the regression model. iii. The Heteroscedasticity test using scatter plot graph shows the distribution of point on the graph that do not show a certain pattern. This indicates

1 that there is no heteroscedasticity in the regression model, so that **the**

regression model is feasible to be used for research. iv. The autocorrelation test using Durbin-Watson test shows d-values of 1.807 and 1.541 for each model. These values show that there is no autocorrelation in the first model but there is a positive autocorrelation in the second regression model because the data from this study are panel data or time series where autocorrelation often occur.s Hypothesis Test Hypothesis testing is done using multiple linear regression test with the assumption of ordinary least square. The results of test that has been carried out are on Table 4. Based on Table 4, if the probability value indicates a number less than 0.05, the result of the regression test can be said to support the research hypothesis. The results of statistical test-F indicate the respective p-value of 0.0208 and 0.0003, indicating that all independent variables in the regressions model 1 and 2 can describe the dependent variable. Interpretation of Results Hypothesis 1 The first hypothesis testing is to test the effect of corporate risk

2 disclosure on the cost of equity capital in

manufacturing sector companies in Indonesia. The test results shows that the significance level or p-value is 0.0208, or smaller than 0.05, with a coefficient value of -2,033. Therefore, the first hypothesis is accepted. It can be concluded that corporate risk disclosure has negative affect on the cost of equity capital. The results indicate that level of corporate risk disclosure (CRD) has a negative effect on the cost of equity capital. This means that the extent of information disclosed by the company will reduce the cost of equity capital. Signalling Theory explains that companies have the drive to provide financial statement information to external parties. Based on this theory, wider disclosure of information results in reduced information asymmetry between company and external parties, where the company knows more about the company's condition and the future prospect than external parties (Investors and creditors). The reduced information asymmetry between the company and external parties makes stockholders more aware of information about the company so that stockholders are willing to reduce the expected return of capital invested in the company which further reduces the cost of equity capital. The result also supports the research conducted

by Nahar et.al. (2016). It also shows that transparent disclosure by companies can reduce the cost of equity capital where the market gives appreciation in the form of lowering expected return

7which in reduces the cost of equity capital.

Hypothesis 2 The next testing is to test the second hypothesis, in which corporate risk disclosure has a positive effect on firm value. Based on the test conducted, coefficient value is 1,280 with significance level of 0.0003 or less than 0.05. Therefore, the second hypothesis is accepted. It can be concluded that the corporate risk disclosure

7has a positive effect on firm value. With the

transparent corporate risk disclosure, shareholders will know what risks the company experienced, how the impact will be in the future, and how company manages these risk so as to increase investor confidence which will further increased the firm or company's value. The extent of disclosure made by the company will increased the firm value. These results support the signalling theory which aims to convince investors about the value of the company. Therefore, with a signal in the form of information presented by the company, it will be easier for investors to judge the performance of the company. Thus, making it easier for investors to make further decisions. The results

26are in line with those of the research conducted by Maizatulakma et.al.

(2015). It also indicates that giving a signal to investors in the form of risk information faced by the company is important because it can help investors make better decisions about their investment in the company that can increase the firm or company's value. 5. CONCLUSION, IMPLICATION, SUGGESTION, AND LIMITATIONS The result of data collection, data processing, analysis, and interpretation of test results in this study indicate that the quality of the performance of corporate risk management can be measured by consistently disclosed risk information. In addition, it can also have a good effect on company performance, i.e. the cost of equity capital and firm value. The greater the level of corporate risk disclosure, the lower the cost of equity capital. It also found that the high level of corporate risk disclosure leads to higher positive firm value. The extent of corporate information disclosure will increase the value of the company. The limitation in this study is that there is still 58% of the other factors outside the independent variable of corporate risk disclosure and control variables of leverage, the ratio of equity market

7value to the book value of equity, the

consumer index and independent audit committee that can predict the dependent variable of the cost of capital equity. Based on the limitation mentioned above, further research should add other variables such as intellectual capital disclosure, systematic risk, information asymmetry, and audit quality that can affect the dependent variable of cost of equity capital.