

REFERENCES

- Abela, M., & Mora, A. (2012). Understanding the consequences of accounting standards in Europe: The role of EFRAG. *Accounting in Europe*, 9(2), 147–170. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17449480.2012.720872>
- Alarcón, G. V. P. (2023). The Evolution of Financial Auditing: How Artificial intelligence and ChatGPT facilitate data analysis and irregularity detection. *migrationletters.com*. <https://doi.org/10.59670/ml.v20iS4.4070>
- Alzeban, A., & Sawan, N. (2015). The impact of audit committee characteristics on the implementation of internal audit recommendations. *Journal of International Accounting, Auditing and Taxation*, 24, 61–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intaccaudtax.2015.02.005>
- Barney, J. B. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>
- Beattie, V. (2014). Accounting narratives and the narrative turn in accounting research: Issues, theory, methodology, methods and a research framework. *The British Accounting Review*, 46(2), 111–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2014.05.001>
- Davis, F.D. (1986). A technology acceptance model for empirically testing new end-user information systems: theory and results. Doctoral dissertation. MIT Sloan School of Management, Cambridge, MA <https://dspace.mit.edu/bitstream/handle/1721.1/15192/14927137-MIT.pdf>
- Emett, S. A., Eulerich, M., Lipinski, E., Prien, N., & Wood, D. A. (2023). Leveraging ChatGPT for Enhancing the Internal Audit Process – A Real-World Example from a Large Multinational Company. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4514238>

- Eulerich, M., & Wood, D. A. (2023). A Demonstration of How ChatGPT Can be Used in the Internal Auditing Process. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4519583>
- Fotoh, L. E., & Mugwira, T. (2023). The use of ChatGPT in External Audits: Implications and future research. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4453835>
- Grassini, S. (2023). Shaping the Future of Education: Exploring the potential and consequences of AI and ChatGPT in educational settings. *Education Sciences*, 13(7), 692. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13070692>
- Kraft, M. E., & Furlong, S. R. (2007). Public policy: Politics, analysis, and alternatives. CQ Press. Researchgate [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/281019875_W_Richard_SCOTT_1995_Institutions_and_Organizations_Ideas_Interests_and_Identities#:~:text=The%20significance%20of%20institutional%20theory,behaviour%20\(Scott%2C%201995\)%20](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/281019875_W_Richard_SCOTT_1995_Institutions_and_Organizations_Ideas_Interests_and_Identities#:~:text=The%20significance%20of%20institutional%20theory,behaviour%20(Scott%2C%201995)%20).
- Kurt, Y. (2023). THE FUTURE OF THE ACCOUNTING AND AUDITING PROFESSION IN THE DIGITAL AGE: THE CHATGPT EXAMPLE. *Iğdir Üniversitesi İktisadi Ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi*. <https://doi.org/10.58618/igdiriibf.1296543>
- Lev, B. (1988) Towards a theory of equitable and efficient accounting policy, *Accounting Review*, 63(1), pp. 1–22. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/247676>
- Liesenfeld, A., Lopez, A., & Dingemanse, M. (2023). Opening up ChatGPT: Tracking openness, transparency, and accountability in instruction-tuned text generators. *ACM Digital Library*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3571884.3604316>
- Mauritz, C., Nienhaus, M., & Oehler, C. (2021). The role of individual audit partners for narrative disclosures. *Review of Accounting Studies*, 28(1), 1–44. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11142-021-09634-4>

- Meyer, J. W., & Rowan, B. (1977). Institutionalized organizations: formal structure as myth and ceremony. *American Journal of Sociology*, 83(2), 340–363. <https://doi.org/10.1086/226550>
- Nordin, I. G. (2023). Narratives of internal audit: The Sisyphean work of becoming “independent.” *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 94, 102448. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2022.102448>
- Polzer, T., Grossi, G., & Reichard, C. (2021). Implementation of the international public sector accounting standards in Europe. Variations on a global theme. *Accounting Forum*, 46(1), 57–82. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01559982.2021.1920277>
- Primec, A., & Belak, J. (2022). Sustainable CSR: legal and managerial demands of the new EU Legislation (CSRD) for the future corporate governance practices. *Sustainability*, 14(24), 16648. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su142416648>
- Rane, N. (2023). Role and challenges of ChatGPT and similar generative artificial intelligence in finance and accounting. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4603206>
- Rogers, E. M., (1983). *Diffusion of innovations* (Third Edition). The Free Press, A Division of Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc. <https://teddykw2.files.wordpress.com/2012/07/everett-m-rogers-diffusion-of-innovations.pdf>
- Scott, W. R. (2008). *Institutions and organizations: Ideas and interests* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications. <https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/institutions-and-organizations/book237665>
- Setyaningrum, D., Gani, L., Martani, D., & Kuntadi, C. (2013). The effect of Auditor Quality on the Follow-Up of Audit Recommendation. *International Research Journal of Business Studies*, 6(2), 89–104. <https://doi.org/10.21632/irjbs.6.2.951>
- Tian Wu, Shizhu He, Jingping Liu, Siqi Sun, Kang Liu, Qing-Long Han, & Yang Tang. (2023, May 1). *A brief overview of ChatGPT: the history, status quo and potential future development*. *IEEE Journals*

& Magazine | IEEE Xplore.

<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/10113601>

Vasarhelyi, M. A., Moffitt, K. C., Stewart, T. R., & Sunderland, D. (2023).

Large Language Models: an emerging technology in accounting.

Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting, 20(2), 1–10.

<https://doi.org/10.2308/jeta-2023-047>

Wei, T., Wu, H., & Chu, G. (2023). Is ChatGPT competent? Heterogeneity

in the cognitive schemas of financial auditors and robots.

International Review of Economics & Finance, 88, 1389–1396.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2023.07.108>

Wernerfelt, B. (1984). A resource-based view of the firm. *Strategic*

Management Journal, 5(2), 171–180.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250050207>

Zaiceanu, A. M., Hlaciuc, E., & Lucan, A. N. C. (2015). Methods for risk

identification and assessment in financial Auditing. *Procedia.*

Economics and Finance, 32, 595–602.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671\(15\)01437-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(15)01437-9)

