

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdul, S. L. M. M. S., Yusoff, H., & Mohamed, N. (2019). Factors That Might Lead to Corruption : A Case Study on Malaysian Government Agency. *International Journal of Financial Research*, 10(3), 216–229. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v10n3p216>
- Abdullahi, R., & Mansor, N. (2018). Fraud prevention initiatives in the Nigerian public sector : understanding the relationship of fraud incidences and the elements of fraud triangle theory. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 25(2), 527–544. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-02-2015-0008>
- Abdulrahman, S. (2019). Forensic Accounting and Fraud Prevention in Nigerian Public Sector : A Conceptual Paper. *International Journal of Accounting & Finance Review*, 4(2), 13–21.
- Abubakar, A. A., Dibal, N. A., Amade, P., & Joyee, P. (2017). Effect of Internal Control Activity on Financial Accountability and Transparency in Local Government Areas of Borno State , Nigeria. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 9(30), 58–65.
- Alam, T., Aftab, M., Abbas, Z., Mannonov, K., Ugli, M., Asad, S., & Bokhari, A. (2023). Impact of E-Government Initiatives to Combat Corruption Mediating by Behavioral Intention : A Quantitative Analysis from Emerging Economies. *Sustainability*, 15(2694). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15032694>
- Ali, M., Raza, S. A., Puah, C. H., & Arsalan, T. (2022). Does e-government control corruption ? Evidence from South Asian countries. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 29(1), 258–271. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-01-2021-0003>
- Amanda, R., & Henny, D. (2022). Analisis Penerapan Standar Harga Satuan pada Penyusunan Pendapatan dan Belanja Daerah (Studi Kasus pada Pemerintah Provinsi DKI Jakarta). *Jurnal Ekonomi Trisakti*, 2(2), 1629–1640.
- Apriliani, A. S., Dirgantari, N., Hariyanto, E., & Wibowo, H. (2023). Procurement Terhadap Sistem Akuntabilitas Kinerja Pemerintah Daerah. *Owner: Riset & Jurnal Akuntansi*, 7(1), 254–264. <https://doi.org/10.33395/owner.v7i1.1289>
- Arayankalam, J., Khan, A., & Krishnan, S. (2020). International Journal of Information Management How to deal with corruption ? Examining the roles of e-government maturity , government administrative effectiveness , and virtual social networks diffusion. *International Journal of Information Management*, 58(July 2020), 102203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102203>
- Asmawanti, D., Mayang, A., Fitranita, V., & Oktari, I. (2020). Dimensi Akuntabilitas Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah Daerah. *Journal of Applied Accounting and Taxation*, 5(1), 85–94.
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (ACFE). (2022). *Occupational Fraud 2022 : A Report To The Nations*.
- Azwar, & Saragih, R. (2018). Does Corruption Affect Poverty in Indonesia. *Jurnal BPPK*, 11(1), 1–14.

- Badan Pemeriksa Keuangan Republik Indonesia. (2022). *Ikhtisar Hasil Pemeriksaan Pemeriksaan Semester II Tahun 2022*.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). *The Moderator-Mediator Variable Distinction in Social Psychological Research: Conceptual, Strategic, and Statistical Considerations*. 6, 1173–1182.
- Basyal, D. K., Poudyal, N., & Seo, J. W. (2018). Does E-government reduce corruption? Evidence from a heterogeneous panel data model. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-12-2017-0073>
- Bergman, M., & Lane, J.-E. (1990). Public Policy in a Principal-Agent Framework. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*, 2(3), 339–352. <https://doi.org/10.1177/095169890002003005>
- Brusca, I., Rossi, F. M., & Aversano, N. (2017). Accountability and Transparency to Fight against Corruption: An International Comparative Analysis. *Journal of Comparative Policy Analysis: Research and Practice*, 00(00), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13876988.2017.1393951>
- Budiman, M. A., & Amyar, F. (2021). The effect of audit opinions, implementation of audit recommendations, and findings of state losses on corruption levels within ministries and institutions in the Republic of Indonesia. *Jurnal Tata Kelola Dan Akuntabilitas Keuangan Negara*, 7(1), 113–129. <https://doi.org/10.28986/jtaken.v7i1.471>
- Cardenas, G., & Gonzales, R. V. (2023). Mapping of clusters about the relationship between e-government and corruption in Mexico. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal*, 33(2), 441–457. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CR-05-2022-0064>
- Castro, C., & Lopes, I. C. (2022). E-Government as a Tool in Controlling Corruption. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2022.2076695>
- COSO. (2013). *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* (Issue May).
- Cressey, D. R. (1953). *Other People's Money: A Study of the Social Psychology of Embezzlement*. Patterson Smith.
- Darmawan, D., Yazid, H., & Bastian, E. (2022). Peran Karakteristik Aparat Pengawas Internal Pemerintah terhadap Akuntabilitas Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah dengan Komitmen sebagai Variabel Pemoderasi. *Jurnal Kebijakan Pembangunan Daerah: Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pengembangan Kebijakan Pembangunan Daerah*, 6(2), 22–34. <https://doi.org/10.56945/jkpd.v6i2.191>
- Dimant, E., & Tosato, G. (2017). Causes and Effect of Corruption: What has Past Decade's Empirical Research Taught Us? A Survey. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 00(0), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joes.12198>

- Djefris, D., Rosalina, E., Rasyidah, Ahmad, A. W., Misra, F., & Putri, J. A. E. (2021). Analisis Standar Belanja (ASB) Pada Pemerintah Kabupaten Padang Pariaman. *Akuntansi Dan Manajemen*, 16(2), 50–67.
- Eisenhardt, K. . (1989). Agency Theory: An Assessment and Review. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(1).
- Elbahnasawy, N. G. (2014). E-Government , Internet Adoption , and Corruption : An Empirical Investigation. *World Development*, 57, 114–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2013.12.005>
- Fitri, F. A., Syukur, M., & Justisa, G. (2019). Do The Fraud Triangle Components Motivate Fraud In Indonesia? *Australasian Accounting, Business and Finance Journal*, 13(4), 63–72.
- Fuadi, I., & Maburur, A. (2021). Faktor-Faktor Penentu Korupsi Pada Pemerintah Daerah di Indonesia. *Jurnal Perbendaharaan, Keuangan Negara Dan Kebijakan Publik*, 6, 317–328.
- Gamayuni, R. R., & Hendrawaty, E. (2020). E-Planning ,E-Budgeting and the Quality of Government Institution Performance Accountability System in Indonesia. *Talent Development & Excellence*, 12(1), 218–225.
- Gans-morse, J., Borges, M., Makarin, A., Mannah-blankson, T., & Nickow, A. (2018). Reducing bureaucratic corruption : Interdisciplinary perspectives on what works. *World Development*, 105, 171–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2017.12.015>
- Ge, W., Li, Z., Liu, Q., & McVay, S. (2014). The effect of internal control on corporate corruption: Evidence from China. *National Natural Science Foundation of China Project*.
- Ghozali, I. (2018). *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate dengan program IBM SPSS 25* (9th ed.). Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Ghozali, I., & Latan, H. (2015). *Partial Least Squares: Konsep, Teknik, dan Aplikasi dengan Program Smart PLS 3.0*. Universitas Diponegoro.
- Gujarati, D. N., & Porter, D. C. (2013). *Dasar-dasar Ekonometrika*. In *Basic Econometrics*. Salemba Empat.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. ., Ringle, M. C., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Model (PLS-SEM). In *Thousand Oaks* (Second). SAGE Publication, Inc.
- Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., & Ringle, C. M. (2018). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European Business Review*, 31(1), 2–24. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-11-2018-0203>
- Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Hopkins, L., & Kuppelwieser, V. G. (2014). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) An emerging tool in business research. *European Business Review*, 26(2), 106–121. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-10-2013-0128>

- Handayani, S., & Kawedar, W. (2021). Could the minimization of opportunity prevent fraud? An empirical study in the auditors' perspective. *Accounting*, 7, 1157–1166. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ac.2021.2.023>
- Hardiningsih, P., Udin, U., Masdjojo, G. N., & Srimindarti, C. (2020). Does Competency, Commitment, and Internal Control Influence Accountability? *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics, and Business*, 7(4), 223–233. <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2020.vol7.no4.223>
- Haryanto, Sahrudin, & Arifuddin. (2007). *Akuntansi Sektor Publik (Pertama)*. Universitas Diponegoro.
- Haslinda, & Jamaluddin. (2016). Pengaruh Perencanaan Anggaran dan Evaluasi Anggaran terhadap Kinerja Organisasi dengan Standar Biaya sebagai Variabel Moderating pada Pemerintah Daerah Kabupaten Wajo. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Peradaban*, II(1), 1–21.
- Peraturan Nomor: PER-01/AAIPI/DPN/2021 tentang Standar Audit Intern Pemerintah Indonesia, (2021).
- Indonesia Corruption Watch. (2022). *Laporan Pemantauan Tren Penindakan Kasus Korupsi Tahun 2021*.
- Indonesia Corruption Watch. (2023). *Laporan Hasil Pemantauan Tren Penindakan Kasus Korupsi Tahun 2022*.
- Irwansyah, I., Hidayati, T., & Hidayah, S. (2022). The Effect of E-Government On Local Government Performance Accountability in Indonesia. *International Journal of EBusiness and EGovernment Studies*, 14(2), 126–147. <https://doi.org/10.34109/ijebeg>.
- Isnadiva, S. M., & Haryanto. (2021). Hasil Pemeriksaan dan Kasus Korupsi Pada Pemerintah Daerah. *Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Dan Keuangan*, 9(1), 83–100. <https://doi.org/10.17509/jrak.v9i1.28342>
- Jameel, A., Asif, M., & Hussain, A. (2019). Good Governance and Public Trust: Assessing the Mediating Effect of E-Government in Pakistan. *Lex Localis- Journal of Local Self-Government*, 17(2), 299–320.
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Ownership Structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*.
- Junedah, L. (2019). *Pengaruh Maturitas SPIP, Kapabilitas APIP, serta Implementasi E-Planning dan E-Budgeting Terhadap Kualitas Sistem Akuntabilitas*. <http://digilib.unila.ac.id/id/eprint/57618>
- Kamal, M., & Nasarudin. (2020). The government's internal audit planning strategy in preventing corruption in the procurement sector in the era of disruption. *Asia Pacific Fraud Journal*, 5(1), 11–23. <https://doi.org/10.21532/apfjournal.v5i1.132>
- Klitgaard, R. (1988). *Controlling Corruption*. University of California Press.

- Kohler, J. C., & Dimancesco, D. (2020). The risk of corruption in public pharmaceutical procurement: how anti-corruption, transparency dan accountability measures may reduce this risk. *Global Health Action*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/16549716.2019.1694745>
- Komisi Pemberantasan Korupsi. (2021). *Survei Penilaian Integritas 2021*.
- Komisi Pemberantasan Korupsi. (2022a). *Laporan Inkracht 2022*.
- Komisi Pemberantasan Korupsi. (2022b). *Pedoman Pelaporan Pencapaian Aksi Pemberantasan Korupsi Tahun 2022*.
- Komisi Pemberantasan Korupsi. (2022c). *Survei Penilaian Integritas 2022*.
- Komisi Pemberantasan Korupsi. (2023a). *Jendela Pencegahan-Koordinasi Wilayah*. <https://jaga.id/jendela-pencegahan/korwil?vnk=2bc60abb>
- Komisi Pemberantasan Korupsi. (2023b). *Ketua KPK: Kasus Korupsi Terbesar di Pemerintah Daerah*. <https://www.beritasatu.com/nasional/1033862/ketua-kpk-kasus-korupsi-terbesar-di-pemerintah-daerah>
- Komisi Pemberantasan Korupsi. (2023c). *Trisula Strategi Pemberantasan Korupsi KPK untuk Visi Indonesia Bebas dari Korupsi*. <https://aclc.kpk.go.id/aksi-informasi/Eksplorasi/20220511-trisula-strategi-pemberantasan-korupsi-kpk-untuk-visi-indonesia-bebas-dari-korupsi>
- Kurniawati, A. D., & Pratama, Y. M. (2021). The role of government auditing in controlling the level of corruption in Indonesia. *Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Auditing Indonesia*, 25(June), 54–64.
- Latan, H., & Ghozali, I. (2017). *Partial Least Squares: Konsep, Metode, dan Aplikasi Menggunakan Program WarpPLS 5.0*. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Lee, K., Choi, S., Kim, J., & Jung, M. (2018). A Study on the Factors Affecting Decrease in the Government Corruption and Mediating Effects of the Development of ICT and E-Government — A Cross-Country Analysis. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 4(3), 41. <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc4030041>
- Liu, J., & Lin, B. (2012). Government auditing and corruption control : Evidence from China ' s provincial panel data. *China Journal of Accounting Research*, 5(2), 163–186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjar.2012.01.002>
- Lorensa, C. N. (2018). Pengaruh Budaya Organisasi dan Peran Auditor Internal, Struktur Pengendalian Internal sebagai Variabel Mediasi. *Jurnal Akuntansi & Keuangan Daerah Volume*, 13(November), 13–25.
- Lupia, A., & McCubbins, M. D. (2000). Representation or abdication ? How citizens use institutions to help delegation succeed. *European Journal of Political Research*, 37, 291–307.
- Lupia, A., & McCubbins, M. D. (1994). Learning from Oversight : Fire Alarms and Police Patrols Reconstructed. *Journal of Law, Economics & Organization*, 10(1), 96–125.
- Mardiasmo. (2002). *Akuntansi Sektor Publik*. Penerbit Andi.

- Mardiasmo. (2018). *Otonomi dan Manajemen Keuangan Daerah*. Penerbit Andi.
- Maria, E., & Halim, A. (2021). E-government dan korupsi: studi di pemerintah daerah, indonesia dari perspektif teori keagenan. *Ekuitas: Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Keuangan*, 5(1), 40–58. <https://doi.org/10.24034/j25485024.y2021.v5.i1.4789>
- Maria, E., Halim, A., Suwardi, E., & Miharjo, S. (2019). Desentralisasi fiskal dan probabilitas terjadinya korupsi : Sebuah bukti empiris dari Indonesia. *Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis*, 22(1), 1–22.
- Masyitoh, R. D., Wardhani, R., & Setyaningrum, D. (2015). Pengaruh Opini Audit , Temuan Audit , dan Tindak Lanjut Hasil Audit terhadap Persepsi Korupsi pada Pemerintah Daerah Tingkat II Tahun. In *Simposium Nasional Akuntansi 18 Medan*.
- Maulidi, A., & Ansell, J. (2021). Tackling practical issues in fraud control : a practice-based study. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 28(2), 493–512. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-07-2020-0150>
- Maulidi, A., & Ansell, J. (2022). Corruption as distinct crime : the need to reconceptualise internal control on controlling bureaucratic occupational fraud. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 29(2), 680–700. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-04-2021-0100>
- Mouna, A., Nedra, B., & Khaireddine, M. (2020). International comparative evidence of e-government success and economic growth : technology adoption as an anti-corruption tool. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 14(5), 713–736. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-03-2020-0040>
- Nam, T. (2018). Examining the anti-corruption effect of e-government and the moderating effect of national culture : A cross-country study. *Government Information Quarterly*, 35(December 2016), 273–282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2018.01.005>
- Nasution, D. A. D. (2019). Pengaruh Kualitas Aparatur dan Skema Pengendalian Internal terhadap Antisipasi Korupsi Berjamaah Dalam Pelaksanaan APBD dengan Integritas sebagai Variabel Moderating. *Jurnal Benefita*, 4(3), 464–476.
- Nurlaela, E., Mappanyukki, R., Surjandari, D. A., Mercubuana, U., & Mercubuana, U. (2021). The Effect of the Internal Audit Roles and Auditor Professionalism on. *Studies in Media and Communication*, 9(2), 24–35. <https://doi.org/10.11114/smc.v9i2.5324>
- Ogunode, O. A., & Dada, S. O. (2022). Fraud Prevention Strategies : An Integrative Approach on the Role of Forensic Accounting. *Archives of Business Research*, 10(7), 34–50.
- Oktavianto, R., & Abheseka, N. M. R. (2018). Evaluasi Operasi Tangkap Tangan KPK. *Jurnal Antikorupsi INTEGRITAS*, 5(2), 117–131.
- Olken, B. A. (2007). Monitoring Corruption : Evidence from a Field Experiment in Indonesia. *Journal of Political Economy*, 115(2), 200–249.

- Ombudsman. (2021). *Lemahnya Pengawasan Sebabkan Tingginya Korupsi di Kalangan ASN*. <https://ombudsman.go.id/news/r/lemahnya-pengawasan-sebabkan-tingginya-korupsi-di-kalangan-asn>
- Paramitha, N. M., & Chariri, A. (2021). E-Government, Sistem Pengendalian Intern Pemerintah, dan Capaian Program Pencegahan Tindak Pidana Korupsi: Akuntabilitas Publik sebagai Variabel Mediasi. *E-Journal Undip*, 1–33.
- Park, C. H., & Kim, K. (2019). E-government as an anti-corruption tool : panel data analysis across countries. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 0(0), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852318822055>
- Undang-undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 28 Tahun 1999 tentang Penyelenggara Negara yang Bersih dan BEas dari Korupsi, Kolusi dan Nepotisme, (1999).
- Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 31 Tahun 1999 tentang Pemberantasan Tindak Pidana Korupsi, (1999).
- Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 20 Tahun 2001 tentang Perubahan atas Undang-undang Nomor 31 Tahun 1999 tentang Pemberantasan Tindak Pidana Korupsi, (2001).
- Undang-undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 15 Tahun 2004 tentang Pemeriksaan Pengelolaan dan Tanggung Jawab Keuangan Negara, (2004).
- Undang-undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 15 Tahun 2006 tentang Badan Pemeriksa Keuangan, (2006).
- Undang-undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 17 Tahun 2007 tentang Rencana Pembangunan Jangka Panjang Nasional Tahun 2005 - 2025, 1 (2007).
- Peraturan Pemerintah Republik Indonesia Nomor 60 Tahun 2008 tentang Sistem Pengendalian Intern Pemerintah, (2008).
- Peraturan Presiden Republik Indonesia Nomor 81 Tahun 2010 tentang Grand Design Reformasi Birokrasi 2010 - 2025, (2010).
- Peraturan Menteri Negara Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi Nomor 35 Tahun 2011 tentang Petunjuk Pelaksanaan Evaluasi Akuntabilitas Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah Tahun 2011, (2011).
- Peraturan Presiden Republik Indonesia Nomor 29 Tahun 2014 tentang Sistem Akuntabilitas Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah, (2014).
- Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 23 Tahun 2014 tentang Pemerintah Daerah, (2014).
- Peraturan Menteri Dalam Negeri Nomor 86 Tahun 2017 tentang Tata Cara Perencanaan, Pengendalian dan Evaluasi Pembangunan Daerah, Tata Cara Evaluasi Rancangan Peraturan Daerah tentang Rencana Pembangunan Jangka Panjang Daerah dan Rencana Pembangunan Jangka , (2017).
- Peraturan Menteri Dalam Negeri Nomor 98 Tahun 2018 tentang Sistem Informasi Pembangunan Daerah, (2018).

- Peraturan Presiden Republik Indonesia Nomor 95 Tahun 2018 tentang Sistem Pemerintahan Berbasis Elektronik, (2018).
- Peraturan Pemerintah Republik Indonesia Nomor 12 Tahun 2019 tentang Pengelolaan Keuangan Daerah, (2019).
- Peraturan Badan Pengawasan Keuangan dan Pembangunan Republik Indonesia Nomor 5 Tahun 2021 tentang Penilaian Maturitas Penyelenggaraan Sistem Pengendalian Intern Pemerintah Terintegrasi pada Kementerian/Lembaga/Pemerintah Daerah, (2021).
- Peraturan Badan Pengawasan Keuangan dan Pembangunan Republik Indonesia Nomor 8 Tahun 2021 tentang Penilaian Kapabilitas Aparat Pengawasan Intern Pemerintah pada Kementerian/Lembaga/Pemerintah Daerah, (2021).
- Peraturan Menteri Dalam Negeri Republik Indonesia Nomor 58 Tahun 2021 tentang Kode, Data Wilayah Administrasi Pemerintahan, dan Pulau, (2021).
- Peraturan Menteri Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi Republik Indonesia Nomor 88 Tahun 2021, (2021).
- Keputusan Menteri Dalam Negeri Nomor 050-145 Tahun 2022 tentang Pemberian dan Pemutakhiran Kode, Data Wilayah Administrasi Pemerintahan, dan Pulau Tahun 2021, (2022).
- Rani, D. L., Nur, E., Nasir, A., & Riau, U. (2021). Factors Affecting Fraud Prevention using The Performance Accountability System of Government Agencies as an Intervening Variable (Study on OPD of The Riau Provincial Government). *Bilancia : Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi*, 5(1).
- Rifai, M. H., & Mardijuwono, A. W. (2020). Relationship between auditor integrity and organizational commitment to fraud prevention. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 5(2), 315–325. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJAR-02-2020-0011>
- Rini, R., & Damiati, L. (2017). Analisis Hasil Audit Pemerintahan dan Tingkat Korupsi Pemerintahan Provinsi di Indonesia. *Jurnal Dinamika Akuntansi Dan Bisnis (JDAB)*, 4(1), 73–90.
- Ruselvi, S. A., Nurbaiti, A., & Aminah, W. (2020). Pengaruh akuntabilitas, Temuan Audit dan Tindak Lanjut Hasil Audit terhadap Tingkat Korupsi (Studi Kasus pada Provinsi Jawa Barat Tahun 2017 - 2018). *E-Proceeding of Management*, 7(2), 2659–2668.
- Rustendi, T. (2017). Peran Audit Internal dalam memerangi korupsi (Upaya meningkatkan efektivitas fungsi APIP). *Jurnal Akuntansi*, 12(2), 111–126.
- Saifullah, & Abbas, G. (2020). Role of Forensic Auditing in Enhancing the Efficiency of Public Sector. *Review of Management Sciences*, II(1), 40–59.
- Sari, N., Ghozali, I., & Achmad, T. (2017). The effect of internal audit and internal control system on public accountability: the empirical study in indonesia state universities. *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology*, 8(9), 157–166.

- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research Methods for Business*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Setyobudi, C. R. A., & Setyaningrum, D. (2019). E-government and corruption perception index : a cross-country study. *Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Auditing Indonesia*, 23(1), 11–20. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.20885/jaai.vol23.iss1.art2>
- Sholeh, M. . (2017). *Pengaruh Karakteristik Aparat Pengawasan Intern Pemerintah (APIP) terhadap Implementasi Sistem Akuntabilitas Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah (SAKIP)*. Universitas Lampung.
- Shonhadji, N., & Maulidi, A. (2022). Is it suitable for your local governments? A contingency theory-based analysis on the use of internal control in thwarting white-collar crime. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 29(2), 770–786. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-10-2019-0128>
- Siahaan, M., Suharman, H., Fitrijanti, T., & Umar, H. (2022). When internal organizational factors improve detecting corruption in state-owned companies companies. *Journal of Financial Crime*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-11-2022-0292>
- Silal, P., Jha, A., & Saha, D. (2023). Information & Management Examining the role of E-government in controlling corruption : A longitudinal study. *Information & Management*, 60(1), 103735. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2022.103735>
- Singleton, T. W., & Singleton, A. J. (2010). *Fraud Auditing and Forensic Auditing* (4th ed.). John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Sofyani, H., Hasan, H. A., & Saleh, Z. (2022). Internal control implementation in higher education institutions : determinants , obstacles and contributions toward governance practices and fraud mitigation. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 29(1), 141–158. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-12-2020-0246>
- Somekh, B., & Lewin, C. (2005). *Research Methods in The Social Sciences*. SAGE Publication, Inc.
- Sugiyono, P. D. (2017). *Metode Penelitian Bisnis: Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, Kombinasi, dan R&D*. CV. Alfabeta.
- Suharyanto, A., & Sutaryo. (2016). Pengawasan Internal dan Akuntabilitas Kinerja Pemerintah Daerah di Indonesia. In *Simposium Nasional Akuntansi XIX*.
- Suleiman, N., & Ahmi, A. (2018). Mitigating Corruption Using Forensic Accounting Investigation Techniques : The Watchdog Perspectives. *Indian-Pacific Journal of Accounting and Finance (IPJAF)*, 2(1), 4–25.
- Susilo, W. D., Angraeni, S., & Partohap, T. H. (2018). Survei Penilaian Integritas : Alternatif Pengukuran Kinerja Pemberantasan Korupsi. *Jurnal Antikorupsi: INTEGRITAS*, 5(2), 165–189.
- Transparency International. (2023). *Corruption Perception Indeks*. Transparency International. <https://www.transparency.org/en/cpi/2022>

- Transparency International Indonesia. (2023). *Indeks Persepsi Korupsi Indonesia 2022*. Ti.or.Id. <https://ti.or.id/indeks-persepsi-korupsi-indonesia-2022-mengalami-penurunan-terburuk-sepanjang-sejarah-reformasi/>
- Tresnawati, F., & Apandi, N. N. (2016). Pengaruh Tindak Lanjut Hasil Pemeriksaan Terhadap Kualitas Laporan Keuangan dengan Tingkat Pengungkapan Laporan Keuangan Sebagai Variabel Moderating (Studi Empiris Pada Kementerian/Lembaga Republik Indonesia). *Jurnal Aset (Akuntansi Riset)*, 8(1), 13–24.
- Tuanakotta, T. M. (2010). *Akuntansi Forensik dan Audit Investigatif* (Edisi Kedua). Salemba Empat.
- Umar, H. (2012). Pengawasan untuk Pemberantasan Korupsi. *Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Auditing*, 8(2), 95–189.
- United Nations. (2022). *E-Government Survey 2022 The Future of Digital Government*.
- Waheduzzaman, W., & Khandaker, S. (2022). E-participation for combating corruption , increasing voice and accountability , and developing government effectiveness : A cross-country data analysis. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 8(1), 549–568. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8500.12544>
- Waluyo, B. (2016). *Pemberantasan Tindak Pidana Korupsi (Strategi dan Optimalisasi)* (Tarmizi & D. M. Listyaningsih (eds.); 1st ed.). Sinar Grafika.
- Wang, L., Luo, X. (Robert), & Jurkat, M. P. (2022). Understanding Inconsistent Corruption Control through E - government Participation : Updated Evidence from a Cross - Country Investigation. *Electronic Commerce Research*, 22(3), 979–1006. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10660-020-09444-x>
- Weygandt, K. (2008). *Intermediate Accounting*.
- Wulandari, I., & Bandi. (2015). Pengaruh e-Government, Kapabilitas APIP dan Presentase Penyelesaian Tindak Lanjut terhadap Opini Audit Laporan Keuangan Pemerintah Daerah di Indonesia. *Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Bisnis*, 15(2), 148–157.
- Yuliant, F., Setyawan, W., Gamayuni, R. R., & Prasetyo, T. J. (2019). E-planning, e-budgeting, and government internal control system as a corruption prevention tools in Indonesia. *The Future Opportunities and Challenges of Business in Digital Era 4.0 : Proceesings of the 2nd International Conference on Economics, Business and Entrepreneurship (ICEBE 2019)*, 257.
- Zhao, X., Lynch, J. G., & Chen, Q. (2010). Reconsidering Baron and Kenny: Myths and truths about mediation analysis. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 37(2), 197–206. <https://doi.org/10.1086/651257>
- Zimmerman, J. L. (1977). The Municipal Accounting MAze: An Analysis of Political Incentives. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 15, 107–144.