DAFTAR PUSTAKA

1. Asmara FY, Santoso A. The effectivity of multi-source feedback (MSF) to assess professional behaviour of nursing students: An evaluation study. *Nurse Media J Nurs*. 2015;5(2):89–98.
2. Wood L, Hassell A, Whitehouse A, Bullock A, Wall D. A literature review of multi-source feedback systems within and without health services, leading to 10 tips for their successful design. *Med Teach*. 2006;28(7):e185–e191.
3. Crossley JGM. Development and validation of a trustworthy multisource feedback instrument to support nurse appraisals. *J Contin Educ Health Prof*. 2015.
4. McPhee S, Phillips NM, Ockerby C. Multisource feedback to graduate nurses: A multimethod study. *J Clin Nurs*. 2017;26(23–24):4605–4614.
5. Donnon T, Al Ansari A, Al Alawi S, Violato C. The reliability, validity, and feasibility of multisource feedback physician assessment: A systematic review. *Acad Med*. 2014;89(3):511–516.
6. Lockyer J. Multisource feedback in the assessment of physician competencies. *J Contin Educ Health Prof*. 2003;23(1):4–12.
7. Lockyer J, Sargeant J. Multisource feedback: an overview of its use and application as a formative assessment. *Can Med Educ J*. 2022;13(4):e1–e9.
8. Khalafi A, Yazdanparast SE, Sarmeydani NS. Enhancing professionalism in nurse anesthesia education: a randomized controlled trial of multi-source feedback. *J Med Educ Dev*. 2024.
9. Nilsson T, Masiello I, Broberger E, Lindström V. Clinical education: nursing students' experiences with multisource feedback using a digital assessment instrument in the emergency medical service. *BMC Med Educ*. 2025;25:50.
10. Rasooli A, Turner J, Varga-Atkins T, Pitt E. Students' perceptions of fairness in groupwork assessment: validity evidence for peer assessment fairness instrument. *Assess Eval High Educ*. 2025;50(2):1–15.
11. Stenberg M, Mangrio E, Bengtsson M, Carlson E. Formative peer assessment in higher healthcare education programmes: a scoping review. *BMJ Open*. 2021;11:e045345.
12. Dannefer EF, Henson LC, Bierer SB. Peer assessment of professional competence. *Med Educ*. 2005;39(7):713–722.
13. Ramm D, Thomson A, Jackson A. Learning clinical skills in the simulation suite: the lived experiences of student nurses involved in peer teaching and peer assessment. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2015;35(6):823–827.
14. Dong Y, Du F, Yin H, Du S. Peer assessment in collaborative learning: a validated tool to enhance equity and engagement in nursing education. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2025.
15. Adama EA, Graf A, Adusei-Asante K, Afrifa-Yamoah E. COVID-19 and alternative assessments in higher education: implications for academic integrity among nursing and social science students. Int J Educ Integr. 2023;19(1):8.

16. Capan Melser M, Lettner S, Bäwert A, Puttinger C, Holzinger A. Pursue today and assess tomorrow - how students' subjective perceptions influence their preference for self- and peer assessments. BMC Med Educ. 2020 Nov 27;20(1):479.
17. Wang Y, Zhu Y, Zeng J, Li J. Nursing students' perspectives on peer assessment in basic nursing procedures: a qualitative study. Nurse Education Today. 2024;141:106302.
18. Wilcox GE. Peer assessment in collaborative learning: a validated tool to enhance equity and engagement in nursing education. Nurse Education Today. 2025.
19. Schönrock-Adema J, Heijne-Penninga M, van Hell EA, Cohen-Schotanus J. Assessment of professional behaviour in undergraduate medical education: peer assessment enhances performance. *Med Educ*. 2007;41(9):836–842.
20. Curran VR, Fairbridge NA, Deacon DR. Peer assessment of professionalism in undergraduate medical education. *BMC Med Educ*. 2020;20:457.
21. De Brún A, Rogers L, Drury A, Gilmore B. Evaluation of a formative peer assessment in research methods teaching using an online platform: A mixed methods pre-post study. Nurse Education Today. 2022;108:105166.
22. Gopee N. The role of peer assessment and peer review in nursing. *Br J Nurs*. 2001;10(2):115–120. doi:10.12968/bjon.2001.10.2.5394.
23. Irvine S, Williams B, McKenna L. How are we assessing near-peer teaching in undergraduate health professional education? A systematic review. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2017;50:72–79.
24. Schönrock-Adema J, Heijne-Penninga M, van Hell EA, Cohen-Schotanus J. Professional behaviour: teaching, assessing and feedback in medical education. *Med Teach*. 2012;34(6):e395–e403.
25. International Council of Nurses. The ICN code of ethics for nurses. Geneva: ICN; 2021.
26. Roberts C, Jorm C, Gentilcore S. Peer assessment of professional behaviours in problem-based learning groups. *Med Educ*. 2017;51(3):256–266.
27. Tornwall J. Peer assessment practices in nurse education: an integrative review. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2018;65:182–189.
28. Topping KJ. Peer assessment. Theory Pract. 2009;48(1):20–27.
29. Falchikov N, Goldfinch J. Student peer assessment in higher education: a meta-analysis. Rev Educ Res. 2000;70(3):287–322.
30. Boud D, Falchikov N. Aligning assessment with long-term learning. Assess Eval High Educ. 2006;31(4):399–413.
31. Nicol DJ, Macfarlane-Dick D. Formative assessment and self-regulated learning. Stud High Educ. 2006;31(2):199–218.
32. Archer JC, Norcini JJ, Davies HA. Use of SPRAT for peer review of professionalism. Med Educ. 2005;39(5):535–540.
33. Overeem K, Wollersheim HC, Arah OA, Cruijsberg JK, Grol RPTM, Lombarts KMJMH. Evaluation of physicians' professional performance: an evidence-based approach. Med Teach. 2007;29(9–10):e292–e299.
34. Li L. How do students of diverse achievement levels benefit from peer assessment? Int J Scholarsh Teach Learn. 2011;5(2):Article 14.

35. Papinczak T, Young L, Groves M. Peer assessment in problem-based learning: a qualitative study. Adv Health Sci Educ. 2007;12:169–186.
36. Li L, Liu X, Steckelberg AL. Assessor or assessee: how student learning improves by giving and receiving peer feedback. Assess Eval High Educ. 2010;35(5):525–536.
37. Panadero E, Brown G, Strijbos JW. The future of student self-assessment: a review of known unknowns and potential directions. Front Psychol. 2016;7:129.
38. Tai J, Ajjawi R, Boud D, Dawson P, Panadero E. Developing evaluative judgement: enabling students to make decisions about quality of work. High Educ. 2018;76:467–481.
39. Sargeant J, Mann K, Ferrier S. Exploring family physicians' reactions to multisource feedback. Med Educ. 2005;39(10):1084–1091.
40. van der Vleuten CPM, Schuwirth LWT. Assessing professional competence: from methods to programmes. BMJ. 2005;331:643–646.
41. Nursing and Midwifery Council. The Code: Professional standards of practice and behaviour for nurses, midwives and nursing associates. London: NMC; 2018.
42. American Nurses Association. Code of ethics for nurses with interpretive statements. Silver Spring (MD): ANA; 2015.
43. Cruess RL, Cruess SR, Steinert Y. Teaching medical professionalism: supporting the development of a professional identity. 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2016.
44. Monrouxe LV. Identity, identification and medical education: why should we care? Med Educ. 2010;44(1):40–49.
45. Birden H, Glass N, Wilson I, Harrison M, Usherwood T, Nass D. Defining professionalism in medical education: a systematic review. Med Teach. 2014;36(1):47–61.
46. van Mook WNKA, de Grave WS, Wass V, O'Sullivan H, Zwaveling JH, Schuwirth LW, et al. Professionalism: evolution of the concept. Eur J Intern Med. 2009;20(4):e81–e84.
47. Epstein RM, Hundert EM. Defining and assessing professional competence. JAMA. 2002;287(2):226–235.
48. Stern DT, Papadakis M. The developing physician—becoming a professional. N Engl J Med. 2006;355(17):1794–1799.
49. Interprofessional Education Collaborative. Core competencies for interprofessional collaborative practice. Washington (DC): IPEC; 2016.
50. Donmez RO, Ozsoy S. Factors influencing development of professional values among nursing students. Pak J Med Sci. 2016 Jul-Aug;32(4):988-93.
51. Arnold L. Assessing professional behavior: yesterday, today, and tomorrow. Acad Med. 2002;77(6):502–515.
52. Norcini J, Anderson B, Bollela V, Burch V, Costa MJ, Duvivier R, et al. 2018 consensus framework for good assessment. Med Teach. 2018;40(11):1102–1109.
53. Sugiyono. Metode penelitian kuantitatif, kualitatif, dan R&D. Bandung: Alfabeta; 2019.

54. Creswell JW, Creswell JD. Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. 5th ed. Thousand Oaks (CA): Sage Publications; 2018.
55. Notoatmodjo S. Metodologi penelitian kesehatan. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta; 2018.
56. Imeläinen, M., et al. Clinical nurse specialists' journey from novice to expert: A systematic mixed-method review. *Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences.*2026*; 40*(3), e70300.
57. Khatun S. A. How to choose a sampling technique and determine sample size for research: a simplified guide for researchers. *Oral Oncol Rep.* 2024;12:100662.
58. Cruchinho P, López-Franco MD, Capelas ML, et al. Translation, Cross-Cultural Adaptation, and Validation of Measurement Instruments: A Practical Guideline for Novice Researchers. JMDH 2024; Volume 17:2701-2728.
59. Behr D, Zabal A. Documenting Survey Translation (GESIS Survey Guidelines). GESIS Leibniz Institute for the Social Sciences; 2020.
60. Nurhaswinda N, Fitriyah JA, Khairunnisa S. Penyajian data, ukuran tendensi sentral dan letak. LANCAH: Jurnal Inovasi dan Tren. 2025;3(1):47-58.
61. Notoatmodjo S. *Metodologi penelitian kesehatan*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta; 2018.
62. Hair JF, Black WC, Babin BJ, Anderson RE. Multivariate data analysis. 7th ed. Upper Saddle River (NJ): Pearson; 2014.
63. World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. *JAMA*. 2013;310(20):2191–2194.
64. Nelwati, Abdullah KL, Chong MC, McKenna L. The effect of peer learning on professional competence development among Indonesian undergraduate nursing students: a quasi-experimental study. J Prof Nurs. 2020;36(6):477-483. doi:10.1016/j.profnurs.2020.03.008.
65. Komariah M, Eriyani T, Rahayuwati L, Agustina HR, Nurhakim F, Somantri I, et al. Quality of life, self-esteem, and stress among first-semester student nurses in Indonesia: a cross-sectional study. *SAGE Open Nurs.* 2025.
66. Alghtany S, Madhuvu A, Fooladi E, Crawford K. Assessment of academic burnout and professional self-concept in undergraduate nursing students: A cross-sectional study. J Prof Nurs. 2024;52:7-14.
67. Ramon-Aribau A, Mondejar-Pont M, Vaqué-Crusellas C, Goutan-Roura E, Serra-Mas M, Castro-Prat R, et al. Experience and perception of peer assessment: findings from a higher education health students' study. Research Square. 2024.
68. Inayah AT, Anwer LA, Shareef MA, Nurhussen A, Alkabbani HM, Alzahrani AA, et al. Objectivity in subjectivity: do students' self and peer assessments correlate with examiners' subjective and objective assessment in clinical skills? A prospective study. BMJ Open. 2017;7(5):e012289.
69. Fan S, Wang S, Zhao Y, Zhang N, Wei Y, Liu H, et al. Nursing students' perspectives on peer assessment in basic nursing procedures: a qualitative study. Nurse Educ Today. 2024;143:106348.
70. Arulappan J, Raghavan D, Raman S, Matua GA, Roach EJ, Jayapal SK. Peer vs. instructor assessment on clinical performance and perception of

undergraduate nursing students toward peer assessment in a Middle Eastern country. *Nurs Forum*. 2026.
71. Dong Y, Du F, Yin H, Du S. Peer assessment in collaborative learning: a validated tool to enhance equity and engagement in nursing education. Teach Learn Nurs. 2026;21(1):e10-e14.
72. Shiu ATY, Chan CWH, Lam P, Lee J, Kwong ANL. Baccalaureate nursing students' perceptions of peer assessment of individual contributions to a group project: a case study. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2012;32(3):214-218.
73. İncesu O, Ulupınar S. The relationship between attitudes towards academic self-efficacy and self and peer assessment in Turkish nursing students: a cross-sectional study. Nurs Open. 2024;11(11):e70094.
74. Townsend MH, Baier MB. The effect of gender on team-based learning peer assessment in a psychiatry clerkship. Med Sci Educ. 2020;30(1):601-603.
75. Sánchez-Sánchez E, Llenares I, Aringo R, Agpasa N, Pallon R. Are men and women really different? The effects of gender and training on peer scoring and perceptions of peer assessment. Assess Eval High Educ. 2023;48(6).
76. Fraser CL, Antlej K, Cardilini APA, et al. Who engaged in the team-based assessment? Leveraging EdTech for a self and intra-team peer-assessment solution to free-riding. Int J Educ Technol High Educ. 2022;19.
77. Fraile J, Monguillot M, González-Arévalo C, Lehane P, Panadero E. Investigating anonymity in formative and summative peer assessment: effects on university students' social-affective factors, perceptions and preference. *Stud Educ Eval*. 2024;83:101410.
78. Tornwall J. Peer assessment practices in nurse education: an integrative review. Nurse Educ Today. 2018;71:266-275.
79. Takeda S, Homberg F. The effects of gender on group work process and achievement: an analysis through self- and peer-assessment. *Br Educ Res J*. 2014;40(2):373-396. doi:10.1002/berj.3088.
80. Aryadoust V. Gender and academic major bias in peer assessment of oral presentations. *Lang Assess Q*. 2016;13(1):1-24.
81. Falchikov N, Magin D. Detecting gender bias in peer marking of students' group process work. *Assess Eval High Educ*. 1997;22(4):385-396.