

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdul, S. L. M. M. S., Yusoff, H., & Mohamed, N. (2019). Factors that might lead to corruption: A case study on Malaysian government agency. *International Journal of Financial Research*, 10(3), 216–229. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v10n3p216>
- Adam, I. O. (2020). Examining E-Government development effects on corruption in Africa: The mediating effects of ICT development and institutional quality. *Technology in Society*, 61(December 2019), 101245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101245>
- Alabdullah, T. T. Y., Alfadhl, M. M. A., Yahya, S., & Rabi, A. M. A. (2013). The Role of Forensic Accounting in Reducing Financial Corruption: A Study in Iraq. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v9n1p26>
- Alam, C. S. (2020). Upaya Pencegahan Korupsi dengan Fraud Triangle Theory. *Jurnal Lingkar Widyaiswara*, 07(03), 1–7.
- Amijaya, H. T. (2019). *Pengaruh Sistem Pengendalian Intern Pemerintah Terhadap Akuntabilitas Laporan Keuangan Pemerintah Daerah Dengan Good Government Governance Sebagai Variabel Intervening (Studi Kasus pada Organisasi Perangkat Daerah Kabupaten Bandung Barat)*. Program Studi Magister Akuntansi, Universitas Widyatama.
- Andvig, J. C., Fjeldstad, O.-H., Weltzien, Å., Amundsen, I., Sissener, T. K., & Søreide, T. (2001). *Corruption. A review of contemporary research*.
- Arayankalam, J., Khan, A., & Krishnan, S. (2020). How to deal with corruption? Examining the roles of e-government maturity, government administrative effectiveness, and virtual social networks diffusion. *International Journal of Information Management*, December 2019, 102203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102203>
- Ayem, S., & Kusumasari, K. F. (2020). Pengaruh Sistem Pengendalian Intern Pemerintah (SPIP) Terhadap Pencegahan Fraud dalam Pengelolaan Dana Desa dengan Akuntabilitas Sebagai Variabel Mediasi. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Dan Humanika*, 10(2), 160. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jiah.v10i2.25827>
- Barbakem, D. I., Tinangon, J. J., & Sabijono, H. (2018). Analisis Perencanaan Dan Penganggaran Untuk Anggaran Pendapatan Dan Belanja Daerah Pada Badan Keuangan Kabupaten Kepulauan Sangihe Tahun Anggaran 2015. *GOING CONCERN: JURNAL RISET AKUNTANSI*, 13(01).
- Basu, S. (2004). E-government and developing countries: an overview. *International Review of Law, Computers & Technology*, 18(1), 109–132.
- Basyal, D. K., Poudyal, N., & Seo, J. W. (2018). Does E-government reduce corruption? Evidence from a heterogeneous panel data model. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 12(2), 134–154. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-12-2017-0073>

- Bergman, M., & Lane, J. E. (1990). Public Policy in a Principal-Agent Framework. *Journal of Theoretical Politics*, 2(3), 339–352. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0951692890002003005>
- Bhatnagar, S., & Apikul, C. (2006). Fighting Corruption with e-Government Applications. *United Nations Development Program*, 4.
- Bhatnagar, S. C. (2002). *E-government and access to information*.
- Bhattacharjee, A., & Shrivastava, U. (2018). The effects of ICT use and ICT Laws on corruption: A general deterrence theory perspective. *Government Information Quarterly*, 35(4), 703–712.
- Chadwick, A., & May, C. (2003). Interaction between states and citizens in the age of the Internet: “e-government” in the United States, Britain, and the European Union. *Governance*, 16(2), 271–300. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0491.00216>
- Chan, J. L. (2003). Government accounting: an assessment of theory, purposes and standards. *Public Money & Management*, 23(1), 13–20.
- Choi, J.-W. (2014). E-Government and Corruption: A Cross-Country Survey. *World Political Science*, 10(2), 217–236. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1515/wpsr-2014-0012>
- Claudia, G. (2018). Akuntansi Forensik Untuk Bedah Kasus Korupsi. *Jemap*, 1(1), 95. <https://doi.org/10.24167/jemap.v1i1.1586>
- Colombatto, E. (2001). Discretionary Power , Rent-Seeking and Corruption. *ICER-International Centre for Economic Research*., September.
- De Graaf, G. (2007). Causes of corruption: Towards a contextual theory of corruption. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 39–86.
- Dikti. (2013). *Dampak Masif Korupsi* (Vol. 6, Issue 10).
- Djalil, R. (2014). *Akuntabilitas keuangan daerah: implementasi pasca reformasi*. PT. Semesta Rakyat Merdeka.
- Donelson, D. C., Ege, M. S., & McInnis, J. M. (2016). Internal Control Weaknesses and Financial Reporting Fraud. *AUDITING: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 36(3), 45–69. <https://doi.org/10.2308/ajpt-51608>
- Elbahnasawy, N. G. (2014). E-Government, Internet Adoption, and Corruption: An Empirical Investigation. *World Development*, 57, 114–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2013.12.005>
- Elgie, R., & Jones, E. (2000). Agents, Principals and the Study of Institutions: Constructing a Principal-Centered Account of Delegation. *CSEG-Working Documents in the Study of European Governance*, Nottingham.
- Fairbanks, J., Plowman, K. D., & Rawlins, B. L. (2007). Transparency in government communication. *Journal of Public Affairs: An International Journal*, 7(1), 23–37.

- Ge, W., Li, Z., Liu, Q., & McVay, S. (2014). The effect of internal control on corporate corruption: Evidence from China. *National Natural Science Foundation of China Project*, 71332008.
- Ghani, A. B. A., Subhan, M., & Tull, M. (2011). An empirical study of foreign direct investments of Malaysian multinationals: wholly-owned subsidiaries and international joint ventures. *International Journal of Strategic Business Alliances*, 2(4), 287–306.
- Ghozali, I. (2018). *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate dengan program IBM SPSS 25* (Vol. 9). Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Ghozali, I., & Latan, H. (2015). Partial least squares konsep, teknik dan aplikasi menggunakan program smartpls 3.0 untuk penelitian empiris. *Semarang: Badan Penerbit UNDIP*.
- Hair, J., Hollingsworth, C. L., Randolph, A. B., & Chong, A. Y. L. (2017). An updated and expanded assessment of PLS-SEM in information systems research. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*.
- Halachmie, A., & Holzer, M. (2010). Citizen Participation And Performance Measurement: Operationalizing Democracy Through Better Accountability. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 34(3), 378–399. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/41288353>
- Haryanto, S. (2007). Ariffudin (2007), Akuntansi Sektor Publik. In *Semarang: Universitas Diponegoro*.
- Hehanussa, S. J. (2015). Pengaruh penyajian laporan keuangan daerah dan aksesibilitas laporan keuangan daerah terhadap transparansi dan akuntabilitas pengelolaan keuangan daerah Kota Ambon. *Conference In Business, Accounting, And Management (CBAM)*, 2(1), 82–90.
- Heinrich, F., & Brown, A. J. (2017). Measuring accountability performance and its relevance for anti-corruption: introducing a new integrity system-based measure. *Crime, Law and Social Change*, 68(3), 359–381. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10611-017-9712-4>
- Indriasari, D., & Nahartyo, E. (2008). Pengaruh kapasitas sumber daya manusia, pemanfaatan teknologi, dan pengendalian internal akuntansi terhadap nilai informasi pelaporan keuangan pemerintah daerah (studi pada Pemerintah Kota Palembang dan Kabupaten Ogan Ilir). *Symposium Nasional Akuntansi*.
- Joseph, O. N., Albert, O., & Byaruhanga, J. (2015). Effect of Internal Control on Fraud Detection and Prevention in District Treasuries of Kakamega County. *International Journal of Business and Management Invention*, 4(1), 47–57.
- Junedah, L. (2019). *Pengaruh Maturitas SPIP, Kapabilitas APIP, Serta Implementasi E-Planning Dan E-Budgeting Terhadap Kualitas Sistem Akuntabilitas* <http://digilib.unila.ac.id/id/eprint/57618>
- Kadafi, M. (2012). Permasalahan Keuangan Negara dan Daerah. *Jurnal Eksis*, 8(2), 2205–2210.
- Karv, J. (2015). *E-Government and its ability to reduce corruption*. 58. <http://lup.lub.lu.se/student-papers/record/5425282>

- Keefer, P., & Khemani, S. (2003). The political economy of public expenditures. *Background Paper for WDR 2004: Making Service Work for Poor People*.
- Kewo, C. L., Tanor, L. A. O., & Kunci, K. (2020). Apakah Good Government Governance dan Pengendalian Intern dapat Mempengaruhi Kualitas Akuntabilitas Laporan Keuangan Sektor Publik ?, 26–27.
- Khairudin, & Erlanda, R. (2016). Pengaruh Transparansi Dan Akuntabilitas Laporan Keuangan Pemerintah Daerah (LKPD) Terhadap Tingkat Korupsi Pemerintah Daerah (Studi Pada Pemerintah Kota Se-Sumatera). *Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Keuangan*, 7(2), 137–154.
- Kim, C. K. (2014). Anti-Corruption Initiatives and E-Government: A Cross-National Study. *Public Organization Review*, 14(3), 385–396. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-013-0223-1>
- Kohler, J. C., & Dimancesco, D. (2020). The risk of corruption in public pharmaceutical procurement: how anti-corruption, transparency and accountability measures may reduce this risk. *Global Health Action*, 13(sup1), 1694745. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16549716.2019.1694745>
- Kongrunchok, A., & Stanton, P. (2014). The Effectiveness Of Thai Local Government Internal Auditing. *Global Business & Economics Anthology*, 1.
- LAN dan BPKP. (2000). Akuntabilitas dan Good Governance. In *Lembaga Administrasi Negara* (Vol. 1, Issue 1). <https://doi.org/10.7591/cornell/9780801452505.003.0003>
- Lee, E. (2017). The Impact of E-government on Corruption Control. *The Journal of Business Inquiry*, 12, 32. <http://www.uvu.edu/woodbury/jbi/articles>
- Lupia, A., & McCubbins, M. D. (2000). Representation or abdication? How citizens use institutions to help delegation succeed. *European Journal of Political Research*, 37(3), 291–307.
- Mahesthi, M. G. (2018). Pengaruh Implementasi Sistem Pengendalian Intern Pemerintah Dan Tindak Lanjut Hasil Pemeriksaan Terhadap Penerapan Good Governance dan Dampaknya Terhadap Akuntabilitas Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah. <http://repository.unpad.ac.id/frontdoor/index/index/docId/9896>
- Mardiasmo. (2002). *Otonomi dan Manajemen Keuangan Daerah*. Penerbit ANDI.
- Meyer-Sahling, J., & Mikkelsen, K. S. (2016). Civil service laws, merit, politicization, and corruption: The perspective of public officials from five East European countries. *Public Administration*, 94(4), 1105–1123.
- Molida, R., & Chariri, A. (2011). Pengaruh financial stability, personal financial need dan ineffective monitoring pada financial statement fraud dalam perspektif fraud triangle. Universitas Diponegoro.
- Montgomery, D. D., Beasley, M. S., Menelaides, S. L., & Palmrose, Z.-V. (2002). Auditors' new procedures for detecting fraud. *Journal of Accountancy*, 193(5), 63.

- Mulyadi, M. (2011). Penelitian kuantitatif dan kualitatif serta pemikiran dasar menggabungkannya. *Jurnal Studi Komunikasi Dan Media*, 15(1), 128–137.
- Nam, T. (2018). Examining the anti-corruption effect of e-government and the moderating effect of national culture: A cross-country study. *Government Information Quarterly*, 35(2), 273–282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2018.01.005>
- Nurhasanah, N. (2016). Efektivitas Pengendalian Internal, Audit Internal, Karakteristik Instansi dan Kasus Korupsi (Studi Empiris di Kementerian/ Lembaga). *Jurnal Tata Kelola Dan Akuntabilitas Keuangan Negara*, 2(1), 27–48. <https://doi.org/10.28986/jtaken.v2i1.35>
- Ojha, A., Palvia, S., & Gupta, M. P. (2009). A Model for Impact of E-Government on Corruption: Exploring Theoretical Foundation. *Critical Thinking in E-Governance*, 289. www.csi-sigegov.org
- Peecher, M. E., Reffett, A., & Zimbelman, A. (2014). *Juror dysfunction: Can auditors reduce the penalty for narrowly failing to detect fraud*. Working paper, University of Illinois.
- Piatkowski, M. (2006). Can information and communication technologies make a difference in the development of transition economies? *Information Technologies & International Development*, 3(1), pp-39.
- Putri, F. E., Anisma, Y., & Al Azhar, A. (2017). *Pengaruh Penyajian Laporan Keuangan Daerah, Aksesibilitas Laporan Keuangan Daerah dan Tingkat Pengungkapan Sukarela Laporan Keuangan Daerah terhadap Akuntabilitas Pengelolaan Keuangan Daerah (Studi Empiris pada Skpd Provinsi Riau)*. Riau University.
- Rasul, S. (2009). Penerapan Good governance di Indonesia dalam upaya pencegahan tindak pidana korupsi. *Mimbar Hukum-Fakultas Hukum Universitas Gadjah Mada*, 21(3), 538–553.
- Riahi-Belkaoui, A. (2001). Level of multinationality, growth opportunities, and size as determinants of analyst ratings of corporate disclosures. *American Business Review*, 19(2), 115–120.
- Rossieta, H., & Nuritomo. (2014). Politik dinasti, akuntabilitas, dan kinerja keuangan pemerintah daerah di Indonesia. *Seminar Nasional Akuntansi 17 Mataram Lombok*.
- Rustiarini, N. W. (2019). The role of e-government in reducing corruption: A systematic review. *Jurnal Perspektif Pembiayaan Dan Pembangunan Daerah*, 7(3), 269–286.
- Salman, K. (2005). Audit Investigatif; Metoda Efektif dalam Pengungkapan Kecurangan. *Makalah Seminar Nasional Auditing Forensik*.
- Saputra, K. A. K., Pradnyanitasari, P. D., Prihandani, N. M. I., & Putra, I. G. B. N. P. (2019). Praktek Akuntabilitas Dan Kompetensi Sumber Daya Manusia Untuk Pencegahan Fraud Dalam Pengelolaan Dana Desa. *Krisna: Kumpulan Riset Akuntansi*, 10(2), 168–176.
- Sardi, S. J., Rifa'i, H. A., & Husnan, L. H. (2016). Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Kualitas Laporan Keuangan Dan Akuntabilitas Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah (Studi Empiris Pada Satker Balai Besar Bmkg Wilayah Iii Denpasar-Bali). *InFestasi*, 12(2), 158–172.

- Sawir, M. (2017). Konsep Akuntabilitas Publik. *Papua Review: Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi Dan Ilmu Pemerintahan*, 1(1), 9–18.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Setyaningrum, D. (2017). E-Government as an Anti-Corruption Tool : Experience from Indonesia. *Accounting and Finance Review*, 2(4), 32–39.
- Setyawan, W., Rika Gamayuni, R., & Muhammad Ahmad, S. (2019). Analysis The Effect of e-Budgeting and Government Internal Control System on The Quality of Financial Reporting of Local Government in Indonesia in Islamic Perspectives. *Ikonomika*, 4(2), 137–150. <https://doi.org/10.24042/febi.v4i2.5204>
- Setyobudi, C. R. A., & Setyaningrum, D. (2019). *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Auditing Indonesia E-government and corruption perception index : a cross-country study*. 23(1).
- Shintadevi, P. F. (2015). Pengaruh keefektifan pengendalian internal, ketaatan aturan akuntansi dan kesesuaian kompensasi terhadap kecenderungan kecurangan akuntansi dengan perilaku tidak etis sebagai variabel intervening. *Nominal: Barometer Riset Akuntansi Dan Manajemen*, 4(2), 111–126.
- Shu, W., Wang, Z., Zhao, C., & Zheng, Y. (2014). Internal control and corruption: Evidence from Chinese state-owned enterprises. *Available at SSRN 2560240*.
- Smith, R. W., & Bertozzi, M. (1996). Principals and agents: An explanatory model for public budgeting. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*.
- Sugiyono, P. D. (2017). Metode Penelitian Bisnis: Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, Kombinasi, dan R&D. In *Penerbit CV. Alfabeta: Bandung*.
- Suleiman, N., & Ahmi, A. (2018). Mitigating corruption using forensic accounting investigation techniques: the watchdog perspectives. *Indian-Pacific Journal of Accounting and Finance*, 2(1), 4–25.
- Tapanjeh, A. M. A., & Tarawneh, A. R. Al. (2020). Applicability of forensic accounting to reduce fraud and its effects on financial statement of jordanian shareholding companies from the perspective of judiciary and certified public accountant. *International Journal of Financial Research*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v11n2p436>
- Tuanakotta, T. M. (2012). *Forensic Accounting and Investigative Audit. Jakarta's Salemba Empat*.
- Tuasikal, H. (2018). Akutansi Forensik Dalam Upaya Pemberantasan Tindak Pidana Korupsi. *Jurnal Hukum Pidana Dan Ketatanegaraan*, 6(2), 199–205.
- Von Hagen, J. (2003). *Fiscal discipline and growth in Euroland: experiences with the stability and growth pact*. ZEI Working Paper.

- Wells, J. T. (2018). *International Fraud Handbook*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Weygandt, J. J., Kimmel, P. D., Kieso, D. E., & Aly, I. M. (2018). *Managerial Accounting: Tools for Business Decision-making*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Wilopo. (2006). Analisis Faktor-faktor yang Berpengaruh Terhadap Kecenderungan Kecurangan Akuntansi: Studi pada Perusahaan Publik dan Badan Usaha Milik Negara di Indonesia. *The Indonesian Journal of Accounting Research*, 9(3). <http://www.ijar-iaikapd.or.id/index.php/ijar/article/view/163>
- Wilopo, R. (2008). Pengaruh Pengendalian Internal Birokrasi Pemerintah dan Perilaku Tidak Etis Birokrasi Terhadap Kecurangan Akuntansi di Pemerintahan: Persepsi Auditor Badan Pemeriksa Keuangan. *Ventura*, 11(1), 85–100.
- Wiratmaja, I. D. N. (2010). Akuntansi forensik dalam upaya pemberantasan tindak pidana korupsi i dewa nyoman wiratmaja. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Dan Bisnis*, 5(2).
- Yuliant, F., Setyawan, W., Gamayuni, R. R., & Prasetyo, T. J. (2020). E-planning, e-budgeting, and government internal control system as a corruption prevention tools in Indonesia. *The Future Opportunities and Challenges of Business in Digital Era 4.0: Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Economics, Business and Entrepreneurship (ICEBE 2019), November 1, 2019, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia*, 257.
- Yunita, N. P., & Aprianto, R. D. (2018). Kondisi Terkini Perkembangan Pelaksanaan E-Government Di Indonesia : Analisis Website. *Seminar Nasional Teknologi Informasi Dan Komunikasi, 2018*(Sentika), 329–336.
- Zemanovicova, D. (2002). Economic Aspects Of Corruption. *Ekonomický Časopis*, 50(2), 182–196.https://www.researchgate.net/publication/296958935_Economic_aspects_of_corruption
- Zimmerman, J. L. (1977). The municipal accounting maze: An analysis of political incentives. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 107–144.