10. Rhodes MK, Schutt MS, Langham GW, Bilotta DE. The journey to nursing professionalism: A learner-centered approach. Nurs Educ Perspect [Internet]. 2012;33(1):27–9. Available from: doi.org/10.5480/1536-5026-33.1.27

11. Maghsoodi E, Alikhah H, Ghavipanjeh Rezaiy S, Partash N, Hosseinian E. Exploring the impact of role-playing in enhancing nursing education: A systematic review of pedagogical outcomes and student competency development. Nurse Educ Today [Internet]. 2025;152:106763. Available from: doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2025.106763

12. Charania NAMA, Ferguson DL, Bay E, Freeland BS, Bradshaw K, Harden K. A professionalism and safety code of conduct designed for undergraduate nursing students. J Prof Nurs [Internet]. 2017;33(6):460–3. Available from: doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2017.06.006

13. Ulrich B, Spector N, Blegen M, Barnsteiner J, Lynn M, Silvestre J, et al. Transition to Practice Study in Hospital Settings. J Nurs Regul [Internet]. 2015;5:24–38. Available from: doi.org/10.1016/S2155-8256(15)30031-4

14. Potgieter ML, Filmalter C, Maree C. Teaching, learning and assessment of the affective domain of undergraduate students: A scoping review. Nurse Educ Pract [Internet]. 2025;86(October 2024):104417. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2025.104417

15. O'Rae A, Peters K, Shajani Z, Burkett J, Laing C. Improving the evaluation of clinical competence in undergraduate students; evidence and technology: An integrative review. J Prof Nurs [Internet]. 2025;56:19–25. Available from: doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2024.10.003

16. Dao N, Ngoc L, Phuong T, Nguyet T, Thanh T, Diem B, et al. Comparing Effectiveness Between Rubric and Traditional Methods to Assess Clinical Practice among Vietnamese Nursing Students: A Quasi-Experimental Study. Pacific Rim Int J Nurs Res [Internet]. 2024 Dec 13;29:122–36. Available from: doi.org/10.60099/prijnr.2025.269492

17. Goz F, Geckil E. Nursing Students Professional Behaviors Scale (NSPBS) validity and reliability. Pakistan J Med Sci [Internet]. 2010;26(4):938–41. Available from: https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84871058272&partnerID=40&md5=f7091979986d1e2ad55500b92ded4a83

18. Eghbali M, Bandari R, Ghaesemzadeh F, Montazeri A. Psychometric properties of the Persian version of Instrument of Professional Attitude for Student Nurses (IPASN). Nurs Open. 2021;(August 2020):784–91.

19. Weis D, Schank MJ. Development and psychometric evaluation of the

Nurses Professional Values Scale--Revised. J Nurs Meas [Internet]. 2009;17(3):221–31. Available from: doi.org/10.1891/1061-3749.17.3.221

20. Tsugawa Y, Ohbu S, Cruess R, Cruess S, Okubo T, Takahashi O, et al. Introducing the Professionalism Mini- Evaluation Exercise (P-MEX) in Japan : Results. Acad Med [Internet]. 2011;86(8):1026–31. Available from: doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e3182222ba0

21. Asmara FY. Validity and Reliability Assessment Tool of Nursing Students Professional Behavior. J Ners [Internet]. 2015 Oct 15;10(2):208–16. Available from: doi.org/10.20473/jn.v10i2.1271

22. Shah D, Behravan N, Al-jabouri N, Sibbald M. Incorporating equity , diversity and inclusion (EDI) into the education and assessment of professionalism for healthcare professionals and trainees : a scoping review. 2024;24(991). Available from: doi.org/10.1186/s12909-024-05981-3

23. Karimi Z, Ashktorab T, Mohammadi E, Abedi HA. Using the Hidden Curriculum to Teach Professionalism in Nursing Students. 2014;16(3). Available from: https://doi.org/ 10.5812/ircmj.15532

24. Beuken M Van Den, Loos I, Maas E, Stunt J, Kuijper L. Experiences of soft skills development and assessment by health sciences students and teachers : a qualitative study. BMC Med Educ [Internet]. 2025;25(724). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-025-07289-2

25. McKenney S, Reeves TC. Educational design research: Portraying, conducting, and enhancing productive scholarship. [Internet]. Medical education England; Jan, 2021 p. 82–92. Available from: doi.org/10.1111/medu.14280

26. Khomeiran RT, Barrett D. What is construct validity ? Evid Based Nurs. 2025;28(1):38–40.

27. DeVellis RF, Thorpe CT. Scale Development : Theory and Applications. Fifth edit. California: Sage Publications Inc.; 2022. 281 p.

28. Tandiono R, Limijaya A. Understanding Rater Bias in Self and Peer Assessment among University Students : A Cultural Psychology Perspective. Asia-Pacific Educ Res [Internet]. 2025;(0123456789). Available from: doi.org/10.1007/s40299-024-00967-7

29. Altunta S, Baykal Ü. An analysis of alumni performance : A study of the quality of nursing education. Nurse Educ Today [Internet]. 2017;49:135–9. Available from: dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2016.11.022

30. Brzyski P, Maria K, Squires A. How Factor Analysis Results May Change

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

1. Aydın AI, Özyazıcıoğlu N, Atak M, Sürenler S. Determination of Professional Values in Nursing Students. Int J Caring Sci. 2018;11(1):254–61.

2. Baumgartner R, Häckter Ståhl C, Manninen K, Hedman AM. Assessment of nursing students in clinical practice - An intervention study of a modified process. J Nurs Educ Pract [Internet]. 2017;7:111. Available from: doi.org/10.5430/jnep.v7n11p111

3. Nguyen TM, Jones D, Ngo KL, Hayes MJ. Developing Professionalism in Dentistry: A Systematic Review. MedEdPublish [Internet]. 2017;6:85. Available from: doi.org/10.15694/mep.2017.000085

4. van Mook WNKA, van Luijk SJ, O'Sullivan H, Wass V, Harm Zwaveling J, Schuwirth LW, et al. The concepts of professionalism and professional behaviour: Conflicts in both definition and learning outcomes. Eur J Intern Med [Internet]. 2009;20(4):e85–9. Available from: doi.org/10.1016/j.ejim.2008.10.006

5. Iorio S, Cilione M, Martini M, Tofani M, Gazzaniga V. Soft Skills Are Hard Skills-A Historical Perspective. Medicina (B Aires) [Internet]. 2022;58(8). Available from: doi.org/10.3390/medicina58081044

6. Gordon M, Box H, Halliwell JA, Farrell M, Parker L, Stewart A. Enhancing health care non-technical skills: the TINSELS programme. Clin Teach [Internet]. 2015;12(6):413–7. Available from: doi.org/10.1111/tct.12433

7. Noviani W, Indarwati F, Huriah T, Rahmasari F, Chong M, Li Yoong T. Nursing Professionalism Training (NURS-PRONING) to Improve Professional Behaviour and Identity among Nursing Students In Malaysia. BIO Web Conf [Internet]. 2024;137. Available from: doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/202413702008

8. Susmarini D, Sumarwati M, Mulyono W, Purnamasari M, Hayati Y. Demographic Profile on Professional Behavior among Nursing Students in Indonesia. In: Proceeding ICMA-SURE [Internet]. 2021. p. 76. Available from: doi.org/10.20884/2.procicma.2021.1.1.4429

9. Rudberg SL, Westerbotn M, Sormunen T, Scheja M, Lachmann H. Undergraduate nursing students ' experiences of becoming a professional nurse : a longitudinal study. BMC Nurs [Internet]. 2022;21(219):1–10. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12912-022-01002-0

Due to Country Context. J Nurs Scholarsh [Internet]. 2016;1–10. Available from: doi.org/10.1111/jnu.12249

31. Olmsted J. Research Reliability and Validity : Why do they matter ? J Dent Hyg [Internet]. 2024;98(6):53–7. Available from: https://jdh.adha.org/content/98/6/53

32. Parastesh S, Hosseini M. Exploring the new characteristics of professionalism in nursing from the perspective of experts : A qualitative Study. J Taibah Univ Med Sci [Internet]. 2025;20(5):673–86. Available from: doi.org/10.1016/j.jtumed.2025.09.003

33. American Association of Colleges of Nursing (AACN). The Essentials: Core Competencies for Professional Nursing Education [Internet]. 2021. 75 p. Available from: https://www.aacnnursing.org/Portals/0/PDFs/Publications/Essentials-2021.pdf

34. Cao H, Song Y, Wu Y, Du Y, He X, Chen Y, et al. What is nursing professionalism? a concept analysis. BMC Nurs [Internet]. 2023 Feb;22(1):34. Available from: doi.org/10.1186/s12912-022-01161-0

35. Cao H, Cao Z, Mao Y, Li L, Song Y, He X, et al. A qualitative study on nursing professionalism: safeguarding life through a conceptual model. BMC Med Educ [Internet]. 2025 Jul;25(1):1127. Available from: doi.org/10.1186/s12909-025-07595-9

36. Yusoff MSB. Professional Behaviour : What Does It Means ? Educ Med J [Internet]. 2009;1(1):1–5. Available from: doi.org/10.5959/eimj.1.1.2009.c1

37. Ghadirian F, Salsali M, Cheraghi MA. Nursing professionalism: An evolutionary concept analysis. Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res. 2014;19(1).

38. Nahm E shim, Archibald M, Mills ME, Costa L, Warren J, Ph D, et al. Continuum of nursing education and practice : Time to close the chasm between academia and practice ☆. J Prof Nurs [Internet]. 2025;46(May 2022):134–40. Available from: doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2023.02.012

39. Adams D, Miller BK. Professionalism in nursing behaviors of nurse practitioners. J Prof Nurs [Internet]. 2001;17(4):203–10. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1053/jpnu.2001.25913

40. Billings DM, Halstead JA. Teaching in nursing : a guide for faculty. 6th ed. St. Louis, Missouri: Elsevier Inc. All; 2020. 597 p.

41. Harmon KC, Clark JA, Dyck JM, Moran V. Nurse educator’s guide to best

teaching practice [Internet]. 1st ed. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2016. 140 p. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42539-9

42. Brannan JD, White A, Long J. Learning Styles : Impact on Knowledge and Confidence in Nursing Students in Simulation and Classroom. Int J Nurs [Internet]. 2016;13(1):1–11. Available from: doi.org/10.1515/ijnes-2015-0052

43. Indriyawati N, Yuwono D, Sugiharto P, Martono M. Nursing Students Engagement In The Classroom And Clinical Practice. J Keperawatan Glob [Internet]. 2022;7(2):125–45. Available from: doi.org/10.37341/jkg.v0i0.661

44. Oermann MH, Gaberson KB. Evaluation and Testing in Nursing Education: Third Edition [Internet]. Springer Publishing Company; 2009. Available from: https://books.google.co.id/books?id=urgX3PPgPhYC

45. Marold SMG, Strouse SM, Butcher D, Hons BA. Professional Identity in Nursing : A Narrative Review of the ISPIN De fi nition and Domains Usage. SAGE Open Nurs [Internet]. 2025;11:1–19. Available from: doi.org/10.1177/23779608251335240

46. Krueger L. Academic Dishonesty Among Nursing Students. J Nurs Educ [Internet]. 2014;53(2):77–88. Available from: doi.org/10.3928/01484834-20140122-06

47. National Student Nurses' Association. Code of ethics. 2022. 1–22 p.

48. Hosseini FA, Zarshenas L, Parvan K, Shaygan M. Assessment of the validity and reliability of the nurses ' professional values scale — revised in Persian version among nursing students. BMC Med Educ [Internet]. 2025; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-025-06677-y

49. Moon S, Hee D, Jung E, Kim Y ju, Lee S. Nurse Education Today Evaluation of the validity and reliability of the Korean version of the Nursing Professional Values Scale — Revised. YNEDT [Internet]. 2014;34(3):325–30. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2013.06.014

50. Lin YH, Wang LS. A Chinese version of the revised Nurses Professional Values Scale: reliability and validity assessment. Nurse Educ Today. 2010 Aug;30(6):492–8.

51. Rached CDA, Almeida AAR, Crescente NY, Mira VL, Santos J do N, Ferreira VCG, et al. Validity and reliability assessment: Nursing Professional Values Scale-Three (NPVS-3) for Brazil. Teach Learn Nurs.

2023;18(4):e205–11.

52. Huang M chih, Pham T phuong thao. Psychometric validation of the nursing professional values scale-revised : Vietnamese version. 2024;19(4):492–9.

53. Asiandi A, Erlina M. Psychometric Evaluation of the Nurses Professional Values Scale-3 : Indonesian Version. 2021;

54. Hisar F, Karadağ A, Kan A. Development of an instrument to measure professional attitudes in nursing students in Turkey. Nurse Educ Today. 2010;30(8):726–30.

55. Xiao Y ying, Li T, Xiao L, Wang S wei, Wang S qi, Wang H xiao, et al. The Chinese version of Instrument of Professional Attitude for Student Nurses (IPASN): Assessment of reliability and validity. Nurse Educ Today [Internet]. 2017;49:79–83. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2016.11.013

56. Pourteimour S, Nobahar M, Raiesdana N. Moral intelligence , clinical placement experience and professional behaviors among Iranian undergraduate nursing students in a baccalaureate nursing program : A descriptive correlational study. Nurse Educ Pract [Internet]. 2021;55(July):103146. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2021.103146

57. Kementerian Kesehatan RI. Standar Profesi Perawat. Jakarta: Kementerian Kesehatan RI; 2020. 65 p.

58. McKenney S, Reeves TC. Conducting educational design research [Internet]. Second edition. | New York : Routledge, 2019. | "[First edition: Routledge; 2018. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315105642

59. Sekaran U, Bougie R. Research methods for business : a skill-building approach [Internet]. 7th Editio. West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons; 2016. 448 p. Available from: https://books.google.co.id/books?id=Ko6bCgAAQBAJ

60. Polit DF, Beck CT. Essentials of nursing research : appraising evidence for nursing practice. 9th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 2018. 512 p.

61. Bujang MA, Hui ST. Development of Questionnaires for Quantitative Medical Research [Internet]. Institute for Clinical Research, National Institutes of Health, Ministry of Health Malaysia; 2021. Available from: doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6137926

62. Bujang MA, Khee HY, Yee LK. A Step-By-Step Guide to Questionnaire Validation. First Edit. Kuala Lumpur: Institute for Clinical Research, NIH MY; 2022.

63. Watkins MW. A step-by-step guide to exploratory factor analysis with SPSS [Internet]. New York, NY : Routledge, 2021.: Routledge; 2021. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781003149347

64. Watkins MW. Exploratory Factor Analysis: A Guide to Best Practice. J Black Psychol. 2018;

65. Corder. GW, Foreman DI. Nonparametric statistics : a step-by-step approach. Second edi. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 2014.

66. Miller MD, Linn RL, Gronlund NE. Measurement and assessment in teaching. 11th ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson; 2012. 576 p.

67. Potgieter ML, Filmalter C, Maree C. Teaching, learning and assessment of the affective domain of undergraduate students: A scoping review. Nurse Educ Pract [Internet]. 2025;86:104417. Available from: doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2025.104417

68. Jr JFH, Black WC, Babin BJ, Anderson RE, Black WC, Anderson RE. Multivariate Data Analysis.

69. Cheung GW, Cooper-Thomas HD, Lau RS, Wang LC. Reporting reliability, convergent and discriminant validity with structural equation modeling: A review and best-practice recommendations. Asia Pacific J Manag [Internet]. 2024;41(2):745–83. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-023-09871-y

70. Gustari LA, Nur Kholis Riswanto. Prinsip Dasar dan Etika dalam Penelitian Ilmiah. J Ilm Pendidik Dasar. 2024;09(4).

71. Widodo S, Ladyani F, Asrianto LO, Rusdi, Khairunnisa, Lestari SMP, et al. Buku Ajar Metode Penelitian. Pangkalpinang: Science Techno Direct; 2023.

72. Putra S, Jailani MS, Nasution FH. Penerapan Prinsip Dasar Etika Penelitian Ilmiah. J Pendidik Tambusai. 2023;7:27876–81.

73. Sürücü L, Yıkılmaz İ, Maşlakçı A. Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) in Quantitative Researches and Practical Considerations. Gümüşhane Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilim Derg [Internet]. 2024;13(2):947–65. Available from: https://doi.org/10.37989/gumussagbil.1183271

74. Zahroh FL, Muflih M, Hilmiyati F. Pembuatan Instrumen Pembelajaran; Analisis Literatur Review. J Paris Langkis. 2025;5(1):319–28.

75. Stack CM. Hidden Administrative Burden and Organisational Power in Higher Education Work , Scholarship , and the Mission of Universities Keywords Key Messages. 2025;1–21.

76. Fatajo E, Sa'ad T. EXPLORING THE CHALLENGES OF PAPER-BASED DOCUMENTATION IN CLINICAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENTS AT EDWARD FRANCIS SMALL TEACHING HOSPITAL , THE GAMBIA . Int J Contemp Heal Sci Technol [Internet]. 2026;14(1):1–44. Available from: doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18744562

77. Cheng KHC, Hui CH, Cascio WF. Leniency Bias in Performance Ratings : The Big-Five Correlates. Front Psychol [Internet]. 2017;8(April):1–10. Available from: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00521

78. Fossum M, Vabo G. Nursing students ' professional identity development : An integrative review. Nord J Nurs Res [Internet]. 2022;42(2):62–75. Available from: 10.1177/20571585211029857

79. Ho P. Professional identity among nursing students : A longitudinal analysis of student experiences and developmental pathways. J Prof Nurs [Internet]. 2025;58(March):104–11. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2025.03.009

80. Egilsdottir HÖ, Heyn LG, Falk RS, Brembo EA, Byermoen KR, Moen A, et al. Factors associated with changes in students ' self - reported nursing competence after clinical rotations : a quantitative cohort study. BMC Med Educ [Internet]. 2023;23(107):1–15. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-023-04078-7

81. Curran VR, Fairbridge NA, Deacon D. Peer assessment of professionalism in undergraduate medical education. BMC Med Educ. 2020;20(504):4–11.

82. Yang L, Ni S. Unveiling the Relationships of Professional Identity , Values and Communication Competence in Nursing Students ' Clinical Practice Behaviours : A Cross- - Sectional Study and Mediation Model Analysis. Nurs Open. 2025;12:1–15.

83. Lacomba-trejo L, Prado-gasc V. Attitudes towards Communication in Nursing Students and Nurses : Are Social Skills and Emotional Intelligence Important ? Healthcare [Internet]. 2023;11:1–12. Available from: doi.org/10.3390/

84. Oh K, Ahn YH, Lee H yeon, Lee S ja, Kim I ja, Choi K sook, et al. A study on Korean nursing students ' educational outcomes. J Educ Eval Health Prof. 2011;8:1–8.

85. Norman G. Likert scales, levels of measurement and the "'laws"' of

statistics. Adv Heal Sci Educ [Internet]. 2010;625–32. Available from: 10.1007/s10459-010-9222-y

86. Makin TR, Xivry JJO de. Ten common statistical mistakes to watch out for when writing or reviewing a manuscript. Elife [Internet]. 2019;1–13. Available from: 10.7554/eLife.48175

87. Pv I, Vidhukumar K, Chacko D, Menon V, Grover S, Gupta S. Criterion validity , construct validity , and factor analysis : An introductory overview. Indian J Psychiatry [Internet]. 2025;67(9). Available from: https://doi.org/10.4103/indianjpsychiatry_911_25

88. Weideman A. Validation and validity beyond Messick. Per Linguam. 2013 Apr 10;28.

89. Devine CA, Chin ED. Integrity in nursing students : A concept analysis. Nurse Educ Today [Internet]. 2018;60(October 2017):133–8. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2017.10.005

90. Jared B, Hanna K, Piras S. Academic integrity in nursing : What nurse leaders and faculty need to know now. J Prof Nurs [Internet]. 2023;48(June):107–11. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.profnurs.2023.07.002

91. Mohammadi MM, Naghibzadeh A, Mosafer H, Mohammadi M. Measuring honesty in nursing : scale development and validation. BMC Nurs. 2025;24(532).

92. Costello AB, Osborne JW. Best practices in exploratory factor analysis: four Best practices in exploratory factor analysis: four recommendations for getting the most from your analysis recommendations for getting the most from your analysis. Pract Assessment, Res Eval [Internet]. 2005;10(7). Available from: https://doi.org/10.7275/jyj1-4868

93. Maccallum RC, Widaman KF. Sample Size in Factor Analysis. Psychol Methods. 1999;4(1).

94. George D, Mallery P. SPSS for Windows Step by Step: A Simple Guide and Reference. 4th ed. Allyn & Bacon. Boston; 2003.

95. Tavakol M, Dennick R. Making sense of Cronbach ' s alpha. Int J Med Educ. 2011;2:53–5.