

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abigail, J., & Meiden, C. 2016. Strukturasi Konflik Penyesuaian Temuan Audit Melalui Penelitian Hubungan Antara Auditor Dengan Klien. *Equity*, 19(1): 12–24.
- Abu Hasan, H., Frecknall-Hughes, J., Heald, D., & Hodges, R. 2013. Auditee Perceptions of External Evaluations of the Use of Resources by Local Authorities. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 29(3): 291–326.
- Achmat, Z. 2019. Theory of Planned Behavior, Masihkah Relevan? *Diambil Dari: Http://Zakarija. Staff. Umm. Ac. Id/Files/20*, 10: 12.
- Afiyanti, Y. 2008. Focus Group Discussion (Diskusi Kelompok Terfokus) sebagai Metode Pengumpulan Data Penelitian Kualitatif. *Jurnal Keperawatan Indonesia*, 12(1): 58–62.
- Aghazadeh, S., Collins, A., & Stefaniak, C. 2018. *The Effects of Reporting Options and Client Accounting Competence on Auditor-Client Negotiations*.
- Ahmadi, D. 2008. Interaksi Simbolik: Suatu Pengantar. *Mediator: Jurnal Komunikasi*, 9(2): 301–316.
- Amilin. 2016. The Analyses of Auditors' Personal Characteristic and its Impact on the Public Accountants' Ethics. *Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research*, 15: 77–81.
- Andersen, S., & DeSchryver, A. P. 2008. *Hubungan dengan konstituen*. Washington, DC: National Democratic Institute for International Affairs (NDI). www.ndi.org.
- Antle, R., & Nalebuff, B. 1991a. Conservatism and Auditor-Client Negotiation. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 29: 31–54.
- Antle, R., & Nalebuff, B. 1991b. Conservatism and auditor - client negotiotion. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 29: 31–54.
- Antony, I. S. 2014. Analisa perilaku reduksi kualitas audit KAP X dalam melaksanakan audit berdasarkan prosedur yang disepakati. *repository of Airlangga University [Internet]*. <http://repository.unair.ac.id/id/eprint/5460>.
- Asch, S. E. 1948. Forming impressions of personality: a critique. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0060423>.
- Ashley Crossman. 2019. Sociology: Achieved Status Versus Ascribed Status. *ThoughtCo*.
- Awadallah, E. 2007. Explicating the Interactions between the Auditor and Client Management during the Audit Process: Implications for Corporate Governance. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 3(4): 23–30.
- Awadallah, E. 2018. Auditor-client negotiations : applying the dual concerns model

- in an emerging economy Emad Awadallah. *Interbational Managerial and Financial Accounting*, 10(3): 250–272.
- Bactiar, Hasanah Bolqiah, L., & Saefudin, M. A. 2020. Menguatnya partai politik kartel pada pemilu serentak 2019. *Jurnal Pengawasan Pemilu*, 1(4): 73–92.
- Bame-Aldred, C. W., & Kida, T. 2007. A comparison of auditor and client initial negotiation positions and tactics. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 32(6): 497–511.
- Baptista, A., Frick, L., Holley, K., Remmik, M., & Tesch, J. 2015. O doutorado como contribuição original ao conhecimento: considerando as relações entre originalidade, criatividade e inovação. *Frontline Learning Research*, 3(3): 55–67.
- Barbour, R. S., & Kitzinger, J. 1999. *Developing focus group research: Politics, theory and practice*. Sage Publications Ltd. www.doi.org/10.4135/9781849208857.
- Barney G, G., & Strauss, A. L. 1967. The Discovery of Grounded Theory, Strategies for Qualitative research. *The Discovery of Grounded Theory*. New Brunswick: Aldine Transaction.
- Bazerman, M. H., Loewenstein, G., & Moore, D. A. 2002. Why Good Accountants Do Bad Audits. *Harvard Business Review*, (November): 1–8.
- Beattie, V. A., Fearnley, S., & Brandt, R. 2004. A grounded theory model of auditor-client negotiations. *International Journal of Auditing*, 8(1): 1–19.
- Beattie, V., Fearnley, S., & Brandt, R. 2000. Behind the Audit Report: A Descriptive Study of Discussions and Negotiations Between Auditors and Directors. *International Journal of Auditing*, 4(2): 177–202.
- Beattie, V., Fearnley, S., & Hines, T. 2012a. A Real-life Case Study of Audit Interactions-Resolving Messy, Complex Problems. *Accounting Education*, 21(2): 111–129.
- Beattie, V., Fearnley, S., & Hines, T. 2012b. Accounting Education: An International A Real-life Case Study of Audit Interactions — Resolving Messy , Complex Problems. *Accounting Education: An International Journal*, 21(2): 111–129.
- Beattie, V., Fearnley, S., & Hines, T. 2014. *Auditor-client interactions in the changed UK regulatory environment - A revised grounded theory model*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijau.12031>.
- Beattie, V., Fearnley, S., & Hines, T. 2015. Auditor-Client Interactions in the Changed UK Regulatory Environment – A Revised Grounded Theory Model. *International Journal of Auditing*, 1–61.
- Bedard, J. 1991. Expertise and Its Relation to Audit Decision Quality " ^ . *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 8: 198–222.
- Bennett, G. B., & Hatfield, R. C. 2013. The effect of the social mismatch between

- staff auditors and client management on the collection of audit evidence. *Accounting Review*, 88(1): 31–50.
- Bennett, G. B., & Hatfield, R. C. 2018. Staff auditors' proclivity for computer-mediated communication with clients and its effect on skeptical behavior. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 68–69: 42–57.
- Biezen, I. 2003. Financing political parties and election campaigns - guidelines. *Council of Europe*.
- Blakeney, R. N., Holland, W. E., & Matteson, M. T. 1976. The Auditor-Auditee Relationship: Some Behavioral Considerations and Implications for Auditing Education. *Accounting Review*, 51(4): 899–906.
- Bloomfield, R. 1999a. Discussion of An Experimental Investigation of Auditor Auditee Interaction under Ambiguity. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 37(May): 157–166.
- Bloomfield, R. 1999b. Discussion of An Experimental Investigation of Auditor-Auditee Interaction under Ambiguity. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 37: 157–165.
- Blouin, J., Grein, B. M., & Rountree, B. 2005. The Ultimate Form of Mandatory Auditor Rotation: The Case of Former Arthur Andersen Clients. *Ssrn*, 1–36.
- Blumer, H. 1969. *Symbolic Interactionism* (First). California: University of California Press.
- Bonner, S. E. 1990. Experience Auditing : The Effects Role in of Knowledge Task-Specific. *The Accounting Review*, 65(1): 72–92.
- Brocheler, V., Maijoor, S., & Witteloostuijn, A. Van. 2004. Auditor human capital and audit firm survival. The Dutch audit industry in 1930 – 1992. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 29: 627–646.
- Brown, H. L., & Wright, A. M. 2008. Negotiation research in auditing. *Accounting Horizons*, 22(1): 91–109.
- Burchell, S., Clubb, C., Hopwood, A., Hughes, J., & Nahapiet, J. 1980. The Roles of Accounting in Organizations and Society. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 5(1): 5–21.
- Burrell, G., & Morgan, G. 1979. *Sociological Paradigms and Organizational Analysis* (First). London: Heineman Education Books, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00113-006-1227-x>.
- Cattell, R. B. 1942. The Concept of Social Status. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 15: 293–308.
- Chariri, A. 2009. Landasan Filsafat dan Metode Penelitian Kualitatif. *Workshop Metodologi Penelitian Kuantitatif dan Kualitatif, Laboratorium Pengembangan Akuntansi (LPA), Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Diponegoro Semarang, 31 Juli – 1 Agustus 2009*, 1–27. Semarang.
- Charmaz, K. 2000. Grounded theory: Objectivist and constructivist methods. In N.

- K. D. and Y. Lincoln (Ed.), *The Handbook of Qualitative Research*: 509–535. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Charmaz, K. 2006. *Constructing Grounded Theory* (First). London: Sage Publications Ltd.
- Chen, K. Y., Elder, R. J., & Liu, J.-L. 2005. Auditor Independence, Audit Quality and Auditor-Client Negotiation Outcomes: Some Evidence from Taiwan. *Journal of Contemporary Accounting & Economics*, 1(2): 119–146.
- Choi, J. H., Kim, J. B., Qiu, A. A., & Zang, Y. 2012. Geographic proximity between auditor and client: How does it impact audit quality? *Auditing*, 31(2): 43–72.
- Chua, W. F. 1986. Radical Developments in Accounting Thought. *The Accounting Review*, 61(4): 601–632.
- Church, B. K., Peytcheva, M., Yu, W., & Singtokul, O. A. 2015. Perspective taking in auditor-manager interactions: An experimental investigation of auditor behavior. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 45: 40–51.
- Comaroff, J., & Gulliver, P. H. 1980. Disputes and Negotiations: A Cross-Cultural Perspective. *Man*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2801551>.
- Cooper, C., Taylor, P., Smith, N., & Catchpole, L. 2005. A *discussion of the political potential of Social Accounting*, 16: 951–974.
- Corbin, J. M., & Strauss, A. L. 2008. Basic of Qualitative Research: Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory. *Sage Publication*.
- Creswell, J. W. 2007a. *Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design, Choosing Among Five Approaches*. (L. C. Shaw, Ed.) (2nd ed.). London: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Creswell, J. W. 2007b. *Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design* (Second). California: Sage Publications, Inc. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3476\(89\)80781-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3476(89)80781-4).
- Creswell, J. W. 2013. *Qualitative inquiry and research design : choosing among five approaches* (3rd ed). California: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Creswell, J. W., & Cheryl, N. P. 2018. *Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design, Choosing Among Five Approaches* (Fourth Edi). California: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Creswell, J. W., & Miller, D. L. 2000. Determining Validity in Qualitative Inquiry. *Theory and Practice*, 39(3): 124–130.
- Currie, G., Lockett, A., Finn, R., Martin, G., & Waring, J. 2012. Institutional Work to Maintain Professional Power: Recreating the Model of Medical Professionalism. *Organization Studies*, 33(7): 937–962.
- D'Aunno, T., Sutton, R. I., & Price, R. H. 1991. Isomorphism and external support in conflicting institutional environments: a study of drug abuse treatment units. *Academy of Management Journal. Academy of Management*, 34(3): 636–661.

- Dacin, T., Goodstein, J., & Scott, R. 2002. Institutional Theory And Institutional Change: Introduction To The Special Research Forum. *Chemical Engineering*, 45(1): 45–57.
- Darmoko, H. W., & Djuwitawati. 2014. Audit Dana Kampanye Pemilihan Umum Kepala Daerah menurut Persepsi Partai Politik, Komisi Akuntan Publik. In D. W. Yusanti & I. Yuliani (Eds.), *3rd Economics & Business Research Festival*, (November): 1583–1592. Salatiga: FEB UKSW Salatiga.
- Darmoko, H. W., Rohman, A., & Januarti, I. 2017. The Phenomenon of Mandatory Reporting on Legislative General Election Campaign Finance, evidence in East Java province, Indonesia. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Accounting, Management, Economics and Social Sciences*, 1–8. Bandung, Indonesia.
- DeAngelo, L. E. 1981. Auditor size and audit fees. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 3(May): 183–199.
- Deegan, C. 2002. Introduction: The legitimising effect of social and environmental disclosures – a theoretical foundation. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 15(3): 282–311.
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. 2018. The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research. (N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln, Eds.) *Synthese* (Fifth Edit), vol. 195. California: SAGE Publications, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11229-017-1319-x>.
- Desmedt, E., Morin, D., Pattyn, V., & Brans, M. 2017. Impact of performance audit on the administration: A belgian study (2005-2010). *Managerial Auditing Journal*, vol. 32. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MAJ-04-2016-1368>.
- Dillard, J. F., & Yuthas, K. 2002. Ethical audit decisions: A structuration perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 36(1–2): 49–64.
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. 1983. the Iron Cage Revisited: Institutional Isomorphism and Collective Rationality in Organizational Fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2): 147–160.
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. 1983. The iron cage revisited institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2): 147–160.
- Dodgson, M. K., Agoglia, C. P., & Bennett, G. B. 2018. *The Influence of “Relationship” Partners on Client Managers’ Negotiation Positions*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2983280>.
- Dong, B., Robinson, D., & Xu, L. (Emily). 2018. Auditor-client geographic proximity and audit report timeliness. *Advances in Accounting*, 40(October): 11–19.
- Donnelly, D. P., Quirin, J. J., & O’Bryan, D. 2003. Auditor Acceptance of Dysfunctional Audit Behavior: An Explanatory Model Using Auditors’ Personal Characteristics. *Behavioral Research in Accounting*, 15(1): 87–110.

- Dorado, S. 2013. Small Groups as Context for Institutional Entrepreneurship: An Exploration of the Emergence of Commercial Microfinance in Bolivia. *Organization Studies*, 34(4): 533–557.
- Dowling, J., & Pfeffer, J. 1975. Organizational legitimacy: Social values and organizational behavior. *Sociological Perspectives*, 18(1): 122–136.
- Edwards, J., & Wolfe, S. 2005. Compliance: A review. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*, 13(1): 48–59.
- Ellwein, W., & Subagyo, H. 2011. *Konstituen, Pilar Utama Partai Politik*. (S. Pranawa, Ed.) (Pertama). Jakarta Indonesia: Friedrich Naumann Stiftung fuer die Freiheit.
- Ettredge, M., Fuerherm, E. E., Guo, F., & Li, C. 2017. Client pressure and auditor independence: Evidence from the “Great Recession” of 2007–2009. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 36(4): 262–283.
- Fandel, G., & Trockel, J. 2011. A game theoretical analysis of an extended manager-auditor-conflict. *Zeitschrift Für Betriebswirtschaft*, 81(S4): 33–53.
- Feizal, R., Sudewo, A., Harahap, F. A. R., & Irfandi, D. 2017. *Evaluasi Pengawasan Penyelenggaraan Pemilihan Kepala Daerah 2017*. Jakarta Indonesia: Badan Pengawas Pemilu Republik Indonesia.
- Finegan, J. 1994. The impact of personal values on judgments of ethical behaviour in the workplace. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 13(9): 747–755.
- Finlay, L. 2006. Going Exploring. The Nature of Qualitative Research. *Qualitative Research for Allied Health Professionals. Challenging Choices*, 3–8.
- Friedland, R., & Alford, R. 1991. “*Bringing Society Back In: Symbols, Practices, and Institutional Contradictions*,” In: *W. W. Powell and P. J. DiMaggio, Eds., The New Institutionalism in Organizational Analysis*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Frink, D. D., & Ferris, G. R. 1998. Accountability, impression management, and goal setting in the performance evaluation process. *Human Relations*, 51(10): 1259–1283.
- Fu, H., Tan, H. T., & Zhang, J. 2011. Effect of auditor negotiation experience and client negotiating style on auditors’ judgments in an auditor-client negotiation context. *Auditing*, 30(3): 225–237.
- Funnell, W., & Wade, M. 2012. Negotiating the credibility of performance auditing. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 23(6): 434–450.
- Gagnon, A. C., & Palda, F. 2011. The price of transparency: Do campaign finance disclosure laws discourage political participation by citizens’ groups? *Public Choice*.
- Garud, R., Hardy, C., & Maguire, S. 2007. Institutional entrepreneurship as embedded agency: An introduction to the special issue. *Organization Studies*, 28(7): 957–969.

- Gibbins, M., & Salterio, S. 2000. Modeling the Auditor's Intended Strategy in Auditor-Client Negotiation. *the Canadian Academic Accounting Association for financial assistance*.
- Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. 1967. The Discovery of grounded Theory, Strategies for Qualitative Research. *Aldine Publishing*, 271.
- Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. 1968. The Discovery of Grounded Theoeq; Strategies for Qualitative Research. *Nursing Research*, 17(4): 364–365.
- Goddard, A., & Malagila, J. 2015. Public Sector External Auditing in Tanzania: A Theory of Managing Colonising Tendencies. *The Public Sector Accounting, Accountability and Auditing in Emerging Economie*, 15: 179–222.
- Goodwin, J. 2002. Auditors' conflict management styles: An exploratory study. *Abacus*, 38(3): 378–405.
- Greenwood, R., Raynard, M., Kodeih, F., Micelota, E., & Lounbury, M. 2011. Institutional Complexity and Organizational Responses. *The Academy of Management Annals*, 5(June 2011): 317–371.
- Greenwood, R., & Suddaby, R. 2006. Institutional entrepreneurship in mature fields: The big five accounting firms. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(1): 27–48.
- Guba, E. G. 1990. The alternative paradigm dialog. *The paradigm dialog*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1357527032000140352>.
- Guba, E., & Lincoln, Y. 1994. Competing Paradigm in Qualitative Research. *Major Paradigm and Perspectives*: 105–117.
- Guetzkow, J., Lamont, M., & Mallard, G. 2004. What is originality in the humanities and the social sciences? *American Sociological Review*, 69(2): 190–212.
- Guthrie, J. 1989. The Contested Nature of Performance Auditing in Australia. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 2(3). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513558910139146>.
- Guthrie, J. E., & Parker, L. D. 1999. A quarter of a century of performance auditing in the Australian federal public sector: A malleable masque. *Abacus*, 35(3): 302–332.
- Guthrie, J. T., Wigfield, A., Barbosa, P., Perencevich, K. C., Taboada, A., et al. 2004. Increasing reading comprehension and engagement through concept-oriented reading instruction. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 96(3): 403–423.
- Hansen, S. C., & Watts, J. S. 1997. Two models of the auditor - Client interaction: Tests with United Kingdom data. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 14(2): 23–50.
- Hariwibowo, I. N., & Santana, P. A. 2020. Analisa Praktik Akuntabilitas Dana Kampanye: Pendekatan Teori Strukturasi Giddens. *InFestasi*, 16(2): 101–112.

- Hasan, K. 2014. Arogansi Gaya Komunikasi (Politik) Jelang Pilpres 2014. *repository.unimal.ac.id*. Aceh.
- Hasanah, H. 2016. Teknik-teknik observasi. *Jurnal At-Taqaddum*, Volume 8(1): 21–46.
- Hatfield, R. C., Agoglia, C. P., & Sanchez, M. H. 2008. Client characteristics and the negotiation tactics of auditors: Implications for financial reporting. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 46(5): 1183–1207.
- Hatfield, R. C., & Mullis, C. 2014. Negotiations between auditors and their clients regarding adjustments to the financial statements. *Business Horizons*, (1195): 6.
- Hathaway, J. 2021. Institutional Logics in Zambian Publik Accountability Organization. *Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance*, (May): 1–7.
- Hatherly, D., Nadeau, L., & Thomas, L. 1996. Game theory and the auditor's penalty regime. *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 23(1): 29–45.
- Hellman, N. 2006a. Auditor – client Interaction and Client Usefulness – A Swedish Case Study. *International Journal of Auditing*, 124: 99–124.
- Hellman, N. 2006b. Auditor-Client Interaction and Client Usefulness - A Swedish Case Study. *International Journal of Auditing*, 10: 99–124.
- Hirsch, A. von, & Wasik, M. 1997. Civil disqualifications attending conviction: A suggested conceptual framework. *Cambridge Law Journal*, 56(3): 599–626.
- Humphrey, C., Bowerman, M., Owen, D., & Stride, C. 2002. *The Contemporary Nature and Significance of The External Audit Function: An Empirical Survey of The Views of ICAEW Member*. London: Institute of Chartered Accountants in England & Wales. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022216X00003850>.
- Hutagalung, D. 2004. Hegemoni, Kekuasaan dan Ideologi. *Jurnal Pemikiran Sosial, Politik Dan Hak Asasi Manusia*, 1(12): 1–17.
- IAI. 2020. *Kode Etik Akuntan Indonesia*. (IAI, IAPI, IAMI, & P. Kemenkeu, Eds.) (2020th ed.). Jakarta Indonesia: Komite Etika, Ikatan Akuntan Indonesia.
- IAPI. 2016. *Standar perikatan Asurans (SPA) 3000*. Jakarta Indonesia: IAPI.
- Icek, A. 1991. The Theory of Planned Behavior Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2): 179–211.
- Inayah, N. L. 2019. Etika Sektor Publik dan Kepatuhan kontrak Audit di Indonesia. *Jurnal Ekonomika'45*, 6(2): 147–153.
- Jenkins, J. G., Deis, D. R., Bedard, J. C., & Curtis, M. B. 2008. Accounting Firm Culture and Governance: A Research Synthesis. *Behavioral Research in Accounting*, 20(1): 45–74.

- Junaidi, V. 2012. Pengaturan dana kampanye pemilu: mau dibawa kemana ? *Jurnal Pemilu & Demokrasi*, 3: 1–26.
- Kachelmeier, Steven J. 2018. Testing auditor-client interactions without letting auditors and clients fully interact: Comments on Bennett and Hatfield (2018). *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 68–69: 58–62.
- Kachelmeier, Steven J. 2018. Accounting , Organizations and Society Testing auditor-client interactions without letting auditors and clients fully interact : Comments on Bennett and Hat fi eld (2018). *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 1–5.
- Kells, S. 2011. The Seven Deadly Sins of Performance Auditing: Implications for Monitoring Public Audit Institutions. *Australian Accounting Review*, 21(4): 383–396.
- Kholmi, M., Triuwono, I., Purnomosidhi, B., & Sukoharsono, E. G. 2015. Phenomenology Study: Accountability of a Political Party in the Context of Local Election. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 211(September): 731–737.
- Kitindi, E. G., & Gunda, M. 2004. Custom er Service In An Auditor-Auditee Relationship. *The International Journal o f Accounting and Business Society*, 12(1): 1–19.
- Kleinman, G., & Palmon, D. 2000. A Negotiation-Oriented Model of Auditor-Client Relationships. *Kluwer Academic Publishers*, (9): 17–45.
- Kleinman, G., Palmon, D., & Yoon, K. 2013. The Relationship of Cognitive Effort, Information Acquisition Preferences and Risk to Simulated Auditor–Client Negotiation Outcomes. *Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10726-013-9371-5>.
- Kraatz, M. S. 2009. Leadership as institutional work: A bridge to the other side. *Institutional Work: Actors and Agency in Institutional Studies of Organizations*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511596605.003>.
- Kulset, E., & Stuart, I. 2018. Auditor-client negotiations over disputed accounting issues: Evidence from one of the Norwegian Big 4 firms. *International Journal of Auditing*, 22(3): 435–448.
- Kusumasari, L. 2018. The Disclosure of Audited Fund Campaign Accounting in Indonesia. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology*, 7(3.21): 93–101.
- Lawrence, T. B., Leca, B., & Zilber, T. B. 2013. Institutional Work: Current Research, New Directions and Overlooked Issues. *Organization Studies*, 34(8): 1023–1033.
- Lawrence, T. B., & Suddaby, R. 2006. Institution and Institution Work. *Sage Handbook of Organization Studies* (2nd Editio): 215–254. London: SAGE Publications Ltd.

- Lawrence, T. B., Suddaby, R., & Leca, B. 2009. *Institutional Work* (Fisrt publ). New York: Cambridge University Press. www.cambridge.org%0A.
- Lawrence, T., Suddaby, R., & Leca, B. 2011. Institutional work: Refocusing institutional studies of organization. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 20(1): 52–58.
- Lee, T., & Stone, M. 1995. Competence and Independence: The Congenial Twins of Auditing? *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 22(December): 1169–1177.
- Lincoln, Y., & Guba, E. 1985. Research, Evaluation, and Policy Analysis: Heuristics for Disciplined Inquiry. *the American Educational Research Association Annual Meeting*, 42. New Orleans, LA.
- Lonsdale, J. 2008. Balancing independence and responsiveness: A practitioner perspective on the relationships shaping performance audit. *Evaluation*, 14(2): 227–248.
- Lori Kopp, W. Morley Lemon, and M. R. 2003. A Model of Trust and Professional Skepticism in the Auditor-Client Relationship. *CAAA annual Conference*, (June): 1–28. Waterloo.
- Maguire, S., & Hardy, C. 2014. Discourse and Deinstitutionalization : The Decline of DDT. *Paper Knowledge . Toward a Media History of Documents*, (410): 1–72.
- Mahyarni. 2013. Theory of Reasoned Action dan Theory of Planned behavior (Sebuah Kajian Historis tentang Perilaku). *Jurnal El-Riyasah*, 4(1): 13–23.
- Maksum, A. 2016. *Pengantar Filsafat*. (A. Safa, Ed.) (Pertama). Yogyakarta: Ar-Ruzz Media.
- Malik, A., & Nugroho, A. D. 2016. Menuju paradigma penelitian sosiologi yang integratif. *Sosiologi Reflektif*, 10(2): 65–84.
- Mande, V., & Son, M. 2011. Do audit delays affect client retention? *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 26(1): 32–50.
- Manen, M. Van. 1990. Researching Lived Experience. (P. I. Smith, Ed.) *SUNY Series in the Philosophy of Education*. Ontario: State University of New York Press.
- March, J. G., & Simon, H. A. 1958. *Organizations*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
- Margheim, L., Kelley, T., & Pattison, D. 2005. An empirical analysis of the effects of auditor time budget pressure and time deadline pressure. *Journal of Applied Business Research*, 21(1): 23–35.
- Mariandini, F. I., Irianto, G., & Nurkholis, N. 2018. Institusionalisasi Sistem Akuntabilitas Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah Di Pemerintah Kota Malang. *Jurnal Economia*, 14(1): 16.
- Mautz, R. K., & Sharaf, H. A. 1961. The Philosophy of Auditing. *American*

Accounting**Association.**

<https://books.google.com/books?id=KxJKzQEACAAJ>.

- Mautz, R. K., & Sharaf, H. A. 1993. *The Philosophy of Auditing* (17th ed.). Florida, USA: AMERICAN ACCOUNTING ASSOCIATION.
- Mawardi, R. 2019. Penelitian kualitatif : Pendekatan Grounded Theory. *Perbanas Institute*, (March): 1–14. Jakarta Indonesia.
- Mawazi, A. R. 2017. Dinamika Partai Politik dalam Sistem Presidensi di Indonesia. *IN RIGHT: Jurnal Agama Dan Hak Azasi Manusia*, 6(2): 137–155.
- McCracken, S., Salterio, S. E., & Schmidt, R. N. 2009. *Do Managers Intend to Use the Same Negotiation Strategies as Partners ?* New South Wales.
- Meyer, J., & Rowan, B. 1977. Institutionalized Organizations: Formal Structure as Myth and Ceremony. *The American Journal of Sociology*, 83(2): 340–363.
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. 1994. *Qualitative Data Analysis* (Second Edi). Thousand Oaks, California - London - New Delhi.
- Minan, A. 2012. Transparansi dan Akuntabilitas dana kampanye Pemilu: IUS Constituendum dalam mewujudkan pemilu yang berintegritas. *Jurnal Pemilu & Demokrasi*, 3: 79–106.
- Modell, S. 2015. Making institutional accounting research critical: Dead end or new beginning? *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 28(5): 773–808.
- Morin, D. 2003. Controllers or catalysts for change and improvement: would the real value for money auditors please stand up? *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 18(1): 19–30.
- Morin, D. 2014. Auditors General's impact on administrations: A pan-Canadian study (2001-2011). *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 29(5): 395–426.
- Morin, D., & Hazgui, M. 2016. We are much more than watchdogs: The dual identity of auditors at the UK National Audit Office. *Journal of Accounting and Organizational Change*, 12(4): 568–589.
- Morris, J. T. 2014. The impact of authentic leadership and ethical organizational culture on auditor behavior. *Journal of Behavioral Studies in Business*, 7(September): 1–33.
- Muhammad. 2017. *Jawa Timur adalah Barometer, mengalahkan DKI*.
- Mulyana, D. 2011. Landasan Filsafat Metode Penelitian Kualitatif. *Seminar Nasional Metode Penelitian Kualitatif*.
- Mzenzi, S. I., & Gaspar, A. F. 2015a. External auditing and accountability in the Tanzanian local government authorities. *Mana*, Vol. 30 No(6/7): 681–702.
- Mzenzi, S. I., & Gaspar, A. F. 2015b. External auditing and accountability in the Tanzanian local government authorities. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 30(6/7): 681–792.

- Nabeth, T., & Roda, C. 2008. Attention management in organizations, Four level of support in information system. *Organisational Capital: Modelling, Measuring, Contextualising: Routledge - Advanced Research Series in Management*, (January 2009): 214–233.
- Ocasio, W. 2011. Attention to Attention. *Organization Science*, 22(5): 1286–1296.
- Ohman, M. 2012. *Political Finance Regulations Around the World*.
- Oliver, C. 1991. Strategic Responses To Processes. *Academy of Management Review*, 16(1): 145–179.
- Orlitzky, M. 2011. Institutional Logics in the Study of Organizations: The Social Construction of the Relationship between Corporate Social and Financial Performance. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 21(3): 409–444.
- Pache, A.-C., & Santos, F. 2010. When Worlds Collide : The Internal Dynamics Of Organizational Responses To Conflicting Institutional Demands. *Academy of Management Review*, 35(3): 455–476.
- Parker, L. D., Schmitz, J., & Jacobs, K. 2021. Auditor and auditee engagement with public sector performance audit: An institutional logics perspective. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 37(2): 142–162.
- Parsons, T. 1963. On the Concept of Political Power. *American Philosophical Society*, 107(3): 232–262.
- Patton, J. M. 1992. Accountability and Governmental Financial Reporting. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 8(3): 165–180.
- Peschard, J. 2006. Control over Party and Campaign Finance in Mexico. *Mexican Studies/Estudios Mexicanos*, 22(1): 83–106.
- Pierre, J., & de Fine Licht, J. 2019. How do supreme audit institutions manage their autonomy and impact? A comparative analysis. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 26(2): 226–245.
- Posner, E. 1998. Symbols, Signals, and Social Norms in Politics and the Law. *Chicago Journals*, 27(June 1998): 765–797.
- Posner, R., & Rasmusen, E. 1999. Creating and enforcing norms, with special reference to sanctions. *International Review of Law and Economics*, 19(3): 369–382.
- Powell, W. W., & DiMaggio, P. J. 1991. The New Institutionalism in Organizational Analysis. *The New Institutionalism in Organizational Analysis*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, Chicago. <https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226185941.001.0001>.
- Power, M. 2000. *The Audit Society - Second Thoughts*, 119(December 1999): 111–119.
- Power, M. 2003. *Power EVALUATING THE AUDIT EXPLOSION 185 Evaluating the Audit Explosion**, 25(3): 185–202.

- Pratama, C. D. 2020. Status dan Peran Sosial dalam Studi Sosiologi. *Compas.Com*, 1. Jakarta Indonesia.
- Preston, A. M., Cooper, D. J., Scarbrough, D. P., & Chilton, R. C. 1995. Changes in the code of ethics of the U.S. accounting profession, 1917 and 1988: The continual quest for legitimation. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 20(6): 507–546.
- Putra, H. 2018. Mendorong Transparansi dan Akuntabilitas Dana Kampanye pada Penyelenggaraan Pilkada Serentak Tahun 2018. *JPPUMA Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan Dan Sosial Politik Universitas Medan Area*, 6(2): 112.
- Rachmawati, I. N. 2007. Pengumpulan Data Dalam Penelitian Kualitatif: Wawancara. *Jurnal Keperawatan Indonesia*, 11(1): 35–40.
- Radcliffe, V. S. 2008. Public secrecy in auditing: What government auditors cannot know. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 19(1): 99–126.
- Radcliffe, V. S. 2012. *The Election of Auditors in Government: A Study of Politics and the Professional*, 12(May): 38–61.
- Rahmi, E., & Karnia, E. 2017. Jawa Timur , Bahasa dan Kehidupan Sosial. www.Beastudiindonesia.net.
- Rasmusen, E. 1996. Stigma and self-fulfilling expectations of criminality. *Journal of Law and Economics*, 39(2): 519–543.
- Reay, T., & Hinings, C. R. 2009. Managing the rivalry of competing institutional logics. *Organization Studies*, 30(6): 629–652.
- Reichborn-Kjennerud, K., & Vabo, S. I. 2017. Performance audit as a contributor to change and improvement in public administration. *Evaluation*, 23(1): 6–23.
- Rennie, M. D., Kopp, L. S., & Lemon, W. M. 2014. Auditor-Client Disagreements and Independence: An Exploratory Field Study. *Research on Professional Responsibility and Ethics in Accounting*, 18: 131–166.
- Riaz, S., Buchanan, S., & Bapuji, H. 2011. Institutional work amidst the financial crisis: Emerging positions of elite actors. *Organization*, 18(2): 187–214.
- Richard, C. 2006. Why an auditor can ' t be competent and independent : A french case study. *European Accounting Review*, 15(2): 153–179.
- Rojas, F. 2010. Power through institutional work: Acquiring academic authority in the 1968 third world strike. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(6): 1263–1280.
- Sahnoun, M. H., & Ali-Zarai, M. 2008. Effect Of Auditor Quality On Auditor Auditee Negotiation Outcomes. *Corporate Ownership & Control*, 5(4): 373–383.
- Sahnoun, M. H., & Zarai, M. A. 2009. Auditor-auditee negotiation outcome: Effects of auditee business risk, audit risk, and auditor business risk in tunisian context. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 17(5): 559–572.

- Sahnoun, M. H., & Zarai, M. A. 2011. Auditor-auditee negotiation : effects of auditor independence and expertise in Tunisian context Manel Hadriche Sahnoun * Mohamed Ali Zarai. *International Journal Critical Accounting*, 3(1): 91–106.
- Saiewitz, A. 2018. E-Mail versus In-Person Audit Inquiry: Recent Research and Additional Survey Data. *American Accounting Association*, vol. 90. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-50982>.
- Saiewitz, A., & Kida, T. 2017. The effects of an auditor's communication mode and professional tone on client responses to audit inquiries. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 65(October): 33–43.
- Salmaniah Siregar, N. S. 2011. Kajian Tentang Interaksionisme Simbolik. *Perspektif*, 4(2): 100–110.
- Salterio, S. E. 2012. Fifteen years in the trenches: Auditor-client negotiations exposed and explored. *Accounting and Finance*, 52(SUPPL.1): 233–286.
- Sanchez, M. H., Agoglia, C. P., & Hatfield, R. C. 2007. The Effect of Auditors ' Use of a Reciprocity-Based Strategy on Auditor-Client Negotiations. *The Accounting Review*, 82(1): 241–263.
- Sanchez, M. H., Agoglia, P., & Hatfield, R. C. 2007. The Effect of Auditors ' Use of a on Strategy Negotiations. *The Accounting Review*, 82(1): 241–263.
- Sarantakos, S. 1998. *Social Research* (Second). London: Macmillan Press LTD.
- Schelker, M. 2012. Auditor expertise : Evidence from the public sector. *Economics Letters*, 116(3): 432–435.
- Shapiro, D., & Zillante, A. 2017. Contribution Limits and Transparency in a Campaign Finance Experiment. *Southern Economic Journal*, 84(1): 98–119.
- Silalahi, A. 2015. Akuntan Sebut 6 Persoalan Audit Dana Kampanye Pilkada. *Berita Satu.com*.
- Simral, V. 2005. *The Funding and Oversight of Political Parties and Election Campaigns in East Central Europe*. Prague, Czech Republic.
- Smets, M., & Jarzabkowski, P. 2013. Reconstructing institutional complexity in practice: A relational model of institutional work and complexity. *Human Relations*, vol. 66. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726712471407>.
- Stanton, P., Stanton, J., & Pires, G. 2004. Impressions of an annual report: An experimental study. *Corporate Communications: An International Journal*, 9(1): 57–69.
- Strauss, A. L., & Corbin, J. M. 1998. *Basics of Qualitative Research : Techniques and Procedures for Developing Grounded Theory* (Secon Edit). California: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Su, X., & Wu, X. 2017. Public Disclosure of Audit Fees and Bargaining Power between the Client and Auditor: Evidence from China. *International Journal of Accounting*, 52(1): 64–76.

- Suchman, M. C. 1995. Managing Legitimacy: Strategic and Institutional Approaches. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(3): 571–610.
- Suddaby, R., & Viale, T. 2011. Professionals and field-level change: Institutional work and the professional project. *Current Sociology*, 59(4): 423–442.
- Sukoharsono, E. G. 2006. Alternatif Riset Kualitatif Sains Akuntansi : Biografi , Phenomenologi , Grounded Theory , Critical Ethnografi dan Case Study. *Analisa Makro Dan Mikro: Jembatan Kebijakan Ekonomi Indonesia*, 230–245.
- Supriyanto, D., & Wulandari, L. 2013. *Basa-Basi Dana Kampanye* (Cetakan I). Jakarta Indonesia: Yayasan Perludm. <http://www.perludem.or.id>.
- Supriyanto, D., Wulandari, L., Pransiska, A., & Natalia, C. 2015. *Dana kampanye pilkada*. Jakarta Indonesia: Yayasan Perludm. www.perludem.org.
- Surbakti, R. 2015. *Roadmap Pengendalian Keuangan Partai Politik Peserta Pemilu*. (A. Suryandari & R. Widyastuti, Eds.). Jakarta Indonesia: The Partnership for Governance Reform (Kemitraan). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>.
- Svanberg, J., Öhman, P., & Neidermeyer, P. E. 2018. Client-identified auditor's initial negotiation tactics: a social-identity perspective. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 33(6/7): 633–654.
- Sweeney, B., & Pierce, B. 2011. Audit team defence mechanisms: Auditee influence. *Accounting and Business Research*, 41(4): 333–356.
- Syawawi, R. 2016. Pengawasan Dana Politik. *Tempo.com*.
- Tetlock, P. E. 1985. Accountability: The Neglected Social Context of Judgment And Choice. *Research In Organizational Behavior*, 7(1): 297–332.
- Tetlock, P. E. 1992. The impact of accountability on judgment and choice: Toward a social contingency model. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 25(C): 331–376.
- Thornton, P. H. 2004. Markets from Culture: Institutional Logics and Organizational Decisions in Higher Education Publishing. *Stanford University Press*, (August): 208.
- Thornton, P., & Ocasio, W. 2012. Institutional Logics. In R. Greenwood, C. Oliver, T. B. Lawrence, & R. Meyer (Eds.), *THE SAGE HANDBOOK OF ORGANIZATIONAL INSTITUTIONALISM* (1st ed.), vol. 1: 99. London: Sage Publications Ltd.
- Triyuwono, I. 2000. Paradigma Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Metodologi Penelitian. *short course Metodologi Penelitian Paradigma alternatif untuk Akuntansi, Ekonomi, dan Manajemen, Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Brawijaya, Malang*. Malang: FEB UB.
- Varma, S. 2016. *Teori Politik Modern*. Jakarta Indonesia: PT.RajaGrafindo Persada Jakarta.

- Wang, K. J., & Tuttle, B. M. 2009. The impact of auditor rotation on auditor – client negotiation. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 34(2): 222–243.
- Warren, D. E., & Alzola, M. 2009. Ensuring independent auditors: Increasing the saliency of the professional identity. *Group Decision and Negotiation*, 18(1): 41–56.
- Wayne, S., & Kacmar, K. M. 1991. The Effects of Impression management on the Performance Appraisal Process. *Organization Behavior and Human Decision Process*, 48: 70–88.
- Williams, P. F. 1992. Prediction and control in accounting “science.” *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 3(1): 99–107.
- Wirajaya, I. G. A. 2012. Hermeneutika Dalam Interpretive Paradigm sebagai Metodologi penelitian Akuntansi. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Dan Bisnis. Universitas Udayana*, 1–21.
- Wulandari, L. 2014. Dana kampanye pemilu di Indonesia: isu krusial yang cenderung terabaikan. *Jurnal Pemilu & Demokrasi*, 3: 55–78.
- Zietsma, C., & Lawrence, T. B. 2010. Institutional work in the transformation of an organizational field: The interplay of boundary work and practice work. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 55(2): 189–221.
- Zilber, T. B. 2013. Institutional Logics and Institutional Work: Should They Be Agreed? *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*, 39A: 77–96.
- Zimbelman, M. F., & Waller, W. S. 1999. An Experimental Investigation of Auditor-Auditee Interaction Under Ambiguity. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 37(1999): 135.