

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ahmad Sarji, S. (2006). Physiological *uptake* in FDG PET simulating disease. *Biomedical Imaging and Intervention Journal*, 2(4).
- Akamatsu, G., Ishikawa, K., Mitsumoto, K., Taniguchi, T., Ohya, N., Baba, S., Abe, K., & Sasaki, M. (2012). Improvement in PET/CT image quality with a combination of point-spread function and time-of-flight in relation to reconstruction parameters. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 53(11), 1716–1722.
- Barrington, S. F., & Kluge, R. (2017). FDG PET for therapy monitoring in Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin lymphomas. *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, 44, 97–110.
- Black, R., Barentsz, J., Howell, D., Bostwick, D. G., & Strum, S. B. (2023). *Optimized 18F-FDG PET-CT Method to Improve Accuracy of Diagnosis of Metastatic Cancer*. 1–14.
- Boellaard, R. (2009). Standards for PET image acquisition and quantitative data analysis. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 50(SUPPL. 1), 11–20.
- Boellaard, R., Delgado-bolton, R., Oyen, W. J. G., Giammarile, F., Tatsch, K., Eschner, W., Verzijlbergen, F. J., Barrington, S. F., Pike, L. C., Weber, W. A., Stroobants, S., Delbeke, D., Kevin, J., Holbrook, S., Graham, M. M., Testanera, G., Hoekstra, O. S., Zijlstra, J., Visser, E., ... Chiti, A. (2015). *FDG PET / CT: EANM procedure guidelines for tumour imaging : version 2 . 0*. 328–354.
- Buck, A. K., & Reske, S. N. (2004). Cellular origin and molecular mechanisms of 18F-FDG *uptake*: Is there a contribution of the endothelium? *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 45(3), 461–463.
- Burchardt, E., Burchardt, W., Cegła, P., Kubiak, A., Roszak, A., & Cholewiński, W. (2021). Pretreatment [18f]fdg pet/ct prognostic factors in patients with squamous cell cervical carcinoma figo iiic1. *Diagnostics*, 11(4).
- Cherry, S. R., Sorenson, J. A., & Phelps, M. E. (2012). Physics in Nuclear Medicine. In *Physics in Nuclear Medicine*.
- Chin, B. B., Green, E. D., Turkington, T. G., Hawk, T. C., & Coleman, R. E. (2009). Increasing *uptake* time in FDG-PET: Standardized *uptake* values in normal tissues at 1 versus 3 h. *Molecular Imaging and Biology*, 11(2), 118–122.
- Eric Laffon, Xavier Adhoute, Henri de Clermont, and R. M. C. (2011). *Is Liver SUV Stable over Time in*. 39(4), 258–263.
- Fletcher, J. W., Djulbegovic, B., Soares, H. P., Siegel, B. A., Lowe, V. J., Lyman, G. H., Coleman, R. E., Wahl, R., Paschold, J. C., Avril, N., Einhorn, L. H.,

- Suh, W. W., Samson, D., Delbeke, D., Gorman, M., & Shields, A. F. (2008). Recommendations on the use of 18F-FDG PET in oncology. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 49(3), 480–508.
- Ghidini, M., Vuozzo, M., Galassi, B., Mapelli, P., Ceccarossi, V., Caccamo, L., Picchio, M., & Dondossola, D. (2021). The role of positron emission tomography/computed tomography (Pet/ct) for staging and disease response assessment in localized and locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *Cancers*, 13(16), 1–15.
- Harvey Ziessman, Janis O'Malley, and J. T. (2014). Requisites. In *Nuclear Medicine*. Elsevier Inc.
- Hosny, M., Sayed, M., Abdelnaim, A. K. M., & Mohamadien, N. R. A. (2022). *Inpatient variability of 18F-FDG uptake in normal tissues*. 12(37), 1–11.
- Huang, S. C. (2000). Anatomy of SUV. *Nuclear Medicine and Biology*, 27(7), 643–646.
- Kadmas, D. J., Casey, M. E., Conti, M., Jakoby, B. W., Lois, C., & Townsend, D. W. (2009). Impact of time-of-flight on PET tumor detection. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 50(8), 1315–1323.
- Karp, J. S., Surti, S., Daube-Witherspoon, M. E., & Muehllehner, G. (2008). Benefit of time-of-flight in PET: Experimental and clinical results. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 49(3), 462–470.
- Karunanithi, S., Soundararajan, R., Sharma, P., Naswa, N., Bal, C., & Kumar, R. (2015). Spectrum of physiologic and pathologic skeletal muscle 18F-FDG uptake on PET/CT. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, 205(2), W141–W149.
- Kim, S. J., Yi, H. K., Lim, C. H., & Cho, Y. S. (2016). Intra-patient Variability of FDG Standardized Uptake Values in Mediastinal Blood Pool, Liver, and Myocardium during R-CHOP Chemotherapy in Patients with Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma. *Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, 300–307.
- Lindström, E., Sundin, A., Trampal, C., Lindsjö, L., Ilan, E., Danfors, T., Antoni, G., Sörensen, J., & Lubberink, M. (2018). Evaluation of penalized-likelihood estimation reconstruction on a digital time-of-flight PET/CT scanner for 18 F-FDG whole-body examinations. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 59(7), 1152–1158.
- Lucignani, G., Paganelli, G., & Bombardieri, E. (2004). The use of standardized uptake values for assessing fdg uptake with pet in oncology: A clinical perspective. *Nuclear Medicine Communications*, 25(7), 651–656.
- Powsner, R. A., Powsner, E. R., Powsner, C. R. A., & Powsner, E. R. (2006). *Single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT)*. 85–112.

- Prihantono, Rusli, R., Christeven, R., & Faruk, M. (2023). Cancer Incidence and Mortality in a Tertiary Hospital in Indonesia: An 18-Year Data Review. *Ethiopian Journal of Health Sciences*, 33(3), 515–522.
- Rahmim, A., & Zaidi, H. (2008). Pet versus spect: Strengths, limitations and challenges. *Nuclear Medicine Communications*, 29(3), 193–207.
- Rogasch, J. M. M., Hofheinz, F., Lougovski, A., Furth, C., Ruf, J., Großer, O. S., Mohnike, K., Hass, P., Walke, M., Amthauer, H., & Steffen, I. G. (2014). *The influence of different signal-to-background ratios on spatial resolution and FF-18DG-PET quantification using point spread function and time-of-flight reconstruction*. 1–16.
- Şahin, G., HazırBulan, A., Sözen, I., Kocadal, N. Ç., Alkış, İ., Yardımcı, A. H., Akkaş, B. E., & Arslan, H. S. (2025). Optimizing Final Pathology Determination in Endometrial Cancer: The Role of PET/CT, MRI, and Biopsy in Serous, Mixed Cell, Clear Cell, and Grade 3 Endometrioid Subtypes. *Diagnostics*, 15(6), 1–13.
- Surti, S. (2015). Update on time-of-flight PET imaging. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 56(1), 98–105.
- Tamal, M. (2020). Intensity threshold based solid tumour segmentation method for Positron Emission Tomography (PET) images: A review. *Heliyon*, 6(10), e05267.
- Vos, C. S. Van Der, Koopman, D., Rijnsdorp, S., Willemsen, A. T. M., & Visser, E. P. (2017). *Quantification, improvement, and harmonization of small lesion detection with state-of-the-art PET*. 44, 4–16.
- Wahl, R. L., Jacene, H., Kasamon, Y., & Lodge, M. A. (2009). Response Criteria in Solid Tumors. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, 50(Suppl 1), 122–150.
- Wang, R., Fan, Q., Tian, R., & Su, M. (2021). *Inpatient repeatability of background 18 F-FDG uptake on PET / CT*. 11(I), 4172–4180.
- Xiao, J., Yu, H., Sui, X., Hu, Y., Cao, Y., Liu, G., & Zhang, Y. (2021). Can the BMI - based dose regimen be used to reduce injection activity and to obtain a constant image quality in oncological patients by 18 F - FDG total - body PET / CT imaging? *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, 269–278.
- Yan, J., Schaefferkoette, J., Conti, M., & Townsend, D. (2016). A method to assess image quality for Low-dose PET: Analysis of SNR, CNR, bias and image noise. *Cancer Imaging*, 16(1), 1–12.